

identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy

U.S. Department of Homeland Security  
20 Mass. Ave., N.W., Rm. 3000  
Washington, DC 20529



U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

**PUBLIC COPY**



L2

FILE: [Redacted]  
MSC 02 250 66460

Office: NEW YORK

Date: NOV 21 2007

IN RE: Applicant: [Redacted]

APPLICATION: Application for Status as a Permanent Resident pursuant to Section 1104 of the Legal Immigration Family Equity (LIFE) Act of 2000, Pub. L. 106-553, 114 Stat. 2762 (2000), amended by LIFE Act Amendments, Pub. L. 106-554, 114 Stat. 2763 (2000).

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. If your appeal was sustained, or if the matter was remanded for further action, you will be contacted. If your appeal was dismissed, you no longer have a case pending before this office, and you are not entitled to file a motion to reopen or reconsider your case.

Robert P. Wifmann, Chief  
Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The application for permanent resident status under the Legal Immigration Family Equity (LIFE) Act was denied by the District Director, New York, New York, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The district director denied the application because the applicant failed to demonstrate that she had continuously resided in the United States in an unlawful status from before January 1, 1982, through May 4, 1988.

On appeal, applicant asserts that her spouse's CSS case was approved and she is the beneficiary wife. She states that she is attaching his approval letter.

Section 1104(c)(2)(B) of the LIFE Act states:

(i) In General – The alien must establish that the alien entered the United States before January 1, 1982, and that he or she has resided continuously in the United States in an unlawful status since such date and through May 4, 1988. In determining whether an alien maintained continuous unlawful residence in the United States for purposes of this subparagraph, the regulations prescribed by the Attorney General under section 245A(g) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (INA) that were most recently in effect before the date of the enactment of this Act shall apply.

An applicant for permanent resident status under section 1104 of the LIFE Act has the burden to establish by a preponderance of the evidence that he or she has resided in the United States for the requisite periods, is admissible to the United States and is otherwise eligible for adjustment of status under this section. The inference to be drawn from the documentation provided shall depend on the extent of the documentation, its credibility and amenability to verification. 8 C.F.R. § 245a.12(e).

The "preponderance of the evidence" standard requires that the evidence demonstrate that the applicant's claim is "probably true," where the determination of "truth" is made based on the factual circumstances of each individual case. *Matter of E-M-*, 20 I&N Dec. 77, 79-80 (Comm. 1989). In evaluating the evidence, *Matter of E-M-* also stated that "[t]ruth is to be determined not by the quantity of evidence alone but by its quality." *Id.* Thus, in adjudicating the application pursuant to the preponderance of the evidence standard, the director must examine each piece of evidence for relevance, probative value, and credibility, both individually and within the context of the totality of the evidence, to determine whether the fact to be proven is probably true.

Even if the director has some doubt as to the truth, if the applicant submits relevant, probative, and credible evidence that leads the director to believe that the claim is "probably true" or "more likely than not," the applicant or applicant has satisfied the standard of proof. See *U.S. v. Cardozo-Fonseca*, 480 U.S. 421 (1987) (defining "more likely than not" as a greater than 50 percent probability of something occurring). If the director can articulate a material doubt, it is appropriate for the director to either request additional evidence or, if that doubt leads the director to believe that the claim is probably not true, deny the application.

Although the regulations provide an illustrative list of contemporaneous documents that an applicant may submit, the list also permits the submission of affidavits and any other relevant document. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 245a.2(d)(3)(vi)(L).

At issue in this proceeding is whether the applicant has submitted sufficient credible and verifiable evidence to establish entry into the United States before January 1, 1982 and continuous residence in the United States in an unlawful status since such date and through May 4, 1988. Here, no evidence was submitted.

On June 7, 2002, the applicant filed a Form I-485, Application to Register Permanent Resident or Adjust Status, as the beneficiary of her spouse's claim for class membership. This, however, does not imply that she derives adjustment of status based on the application of her spouse. Rather, the applicant must establish her own eligibility. The application will be adjudicated based on the merits of the applicant's documentation.

In a June 10, 2005, Notice of Intent to Deny, the director noted that the applicant entered the United States for the first time on February 21, 1990. The applicant was granted thirty (30) days to submit additional evidence. The record reflects that no evidence was submitted. In an August 25, 2005, Notice of Decision, the director stated that no evidence was submitted in support of the applicant's claim of continuous unlawful residence during the requisite period.

The record reflects that on the applicant's Form I-485, the applicant indicated her date of last arrival as February 21, 1990. During a May 13, 2005, interview, the applicant stated under oath and in writing, that she entered the United States for the first time on February 21, 1990. Based on the applicant's own statements, the AAO concludes that the applicant's claimed residency is not credible. Thus, the record does not contain any contemporaneous evidence, or other sufficient credible evidence, to establish that the applicant resided in the United States prior to January 1, 1982.

The applicant has, therefore, failed to establish that he resided in continuous unlawful status in the United States from before January 1, 1982 through May 4, 1988, as required under Section 1104(c)(2)(B) of the LIFE Act. Given this, she is ineligible for permanent resident status under Section 1104 of the LIFE Act.

**ORDER:** The appeal is dismissed. This decision constitutes a final notice of ineligibility.