

identifying data deleted to  
prevent disclosure of unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy



U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

L2

**PUBLIC COPY**



FILE:



Office: LOS ANGELES, CA

Date: NOV 23 2007

MSC-02-269-60880

IN RE: Applicant:



APPLICATION: Application for Status as a Permanent Resident pursuant to Section 1104 of the Legal Immigration Family Equity (LIFE) Act of 2000, Pub. L. 106-553, 114 Stat. 2762 (2000), amended by Life Act Amendments, Pub. L. 106-554, 114 Stat. 2763 (2000).

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT: SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. The file has been returned to the National Benefits Center. If your appeal was sustained, or if the matter was remanded for further action, you will be contacted. If your appeal was dismissed, you no longer have a case pending before this office, and you are not entitled to file a motion to reopen or reconsider your case.

Robert P. Wiemann, Chief  
Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The application for permanent resident status under the Legal Immigration Family Equity (LIFE) Act was denied by the Director, Los Angeles District Office, and that decision is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be summarily dismissed.

In her Notice of Intent to Deny, the director noted that the applicant did not meet his burden of establishing, by a preponderance of the evidence, that he resided in the United States for the duration of the requisite period pursuant to the regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 245a.12(e). She went on to say that though the applicant testified before a Citizenship and Immigration Services (CIS) officer that he had not been arrested, Service records indicated that he had been arrested on February 24, 1993. The director noted that on the date of his interview, the applicant was sent a Request for Evidence Form I-72 on which the Service requested he provide a court disposition of his arrests. She went on to say that failure to provide the Service with these requested documents may result in a denial of his application. The director granted the applicant thirty (30) days within which to submit additional evidence in support of his application. As the applicant did not submit a rebuttal to the director's NOID or additional evidence in support of his application, the director denied the application.

On appeal, the applicant submitted a Form I-290B on which he indicates that he has additional evidence in support of his application and he will send that evidence within thirty (30) days. It is noted here that the Service received the applicant's Form I-290B on May 1, 2007. As of November 21, 2007, the Service has not received a brief or additional evidence from this applicant.

As stated in 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(3)(iv), any appeal which is filed that fails to state the reason for appeal, or is patently frivolous, will be summarily dismissed.

A review of the decision reveals the director accurately set forth a legitimate basis for the denial of the application. On appeal, the applicant has failed to address the reasons stated for denial and has not provided any additional evidence. The appeal must therefore be summarily dismissed.

**ORDER:** The appeal is dismissed. This notice constitutes a final notice of ineligibility.