

identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

PUBLIC COPY



L-2

FILE: [REDACTED]
MSC 02 358 63791

Office: MISSOURI SERVICE CENTER

Date: **NOV 29 2007**

IN RE: Applicant: [REDACTED]

APPLICATION: Application for Status as a Permanent Resident pursuant to Section 1104 of the Legal Immigration Family Equity (LIFE) Act of 2000, Pub. L. 106-553, 114 Stat. 2762 (2000), *amended by* Life Act Amendments, Pub. L. 106-554, 114 Stat. 2763 (2000).

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT: SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. If your appeal was sustained, or if your case was remanded for further action, you will be contacted. If your appeal was dismissed, you no longer have a case pending before this office, and you are not entitled to file a motion to reopen or reconsider your case.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "R. Wiemann".

Robert P. Wiemann, Chief
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application for permanent resident status under the Legal Immigration Family Equity (LIFE) Act was denied by the Director, Missouri Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The director concluded the applicant had not established that he had applied for class membership in any of the requisite legalization class-action lawsuits prior to October 1, 2000 and, therefore, denied the application.

In response to the Notice of Decision, the applicant submits a Form I-290B Notice of Appeal to the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO). At item 2 on the form, the applicant checks box 4, indicating that he is requesting an additional 180 days in which to submit a brief and/or evidence to the AAO. In a letter of support accompanying the appeal dated October 14, 2003, the applicant claimed that he submitted a request under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) for a copy of the record of proceeding, and he would be unable to submit evidence in support of the appeal until the request had been satisfied. However, as of this date, no further documentation or statement has been submitted into the record of proceedings.¹ In addition, the applicant fails to specifically articulate the reasons for his appeal under item 3 on the form.

An applicant for permanent resident status under the LIFE Act must establish that before October 1, 2000, he or she filed a written claim with the Attorney General for class membership in any of the following legalization class-action lawsuits: *Catholic Social Services, Inc. v. Meese*, vacated sub nom. *Reno v. Catholic Social Services, Inc.*, 509 U.S. 43 (1993), *League of United Latin American Citizens v. INS*, vacated sub nom. *Reno v. Catholic Social Services, Inc.*, 509 U.S. 43 (1993), or *Zambrano v. INS*, vacated sub nom. *Immigration and Naturalization Service v. Zambrano*, 509 U.S. 918 (1993). See 8 C.F.R. § 245a.10.

As stated in 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(3)(iv), any appeal which is filed that fails to state the reason for appeal, or is patently frivolous, will be summarily dismissed. While the applicant requested additional time to submit supporting evidence in support of the appeal, no additional documentation has been submitted. Furthermore, the applicant failed to address the director's specific reasons for the denial and fails to acknowledge the deficiencies in the evidence as noted by the director. The appeal must therefore be summarily dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed. This decision constitutes a final notice of ineligibility.

¹ On October 26, 2007, the AAO contacted the applicant via first class mail to inquire about the status of the FOIA request and whether he had received a copy of the record. The applicant was afforded ten business days to respond to the inquiry. As of the date of this decision, no response has been received.