



U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy

PUBLIC COPY



L2

FILE:



MSC-03-190-60036

Office: NEW YORK

Date:

SEP 13 2007

IN RE: Applicant:



APPLICATION: Application for Status as a Permanent Resident pursuant to Section 1104 of the Legal Immigration Family Equity (LIFE) Act of 2000, Pub. L. 106-553, 114 Stat. 2762 (2000), amended by Life Act Amendments, Pub. L. 106-554, 114 Stat. 2763 (2000).

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. The file has been returned to the National Benefits Center. If your appeal was sustained, or if the matter was remanded for further action, you will be contacted. If your appeal was dismissed, you no longer have a case pending before this office, and you are not entitled to file a motion to reopen or reconsider your case.

Robert P. Wiemann, Director  
Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The application for permanent resident status under the Legal Immigration Family Equity (LIFE) Act was denied by the Director, New York, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

In her decision, the district director noted that an applicant for permanent resident status must establish entry into the United States before January 1, 1982 and continuous residence in the United States in an unlawful status since such date and through May 4, 1988. 8 C.F.R. § 245a.11(b). The director stated in her Notice of Intent to Deny (NOID) that on April 2, 2004, when he was interviewed by a CIS officer the applicant testified that he had first entered the United States in October 1987 and subsequently submitted a statement in which he indicated the same first entry date. The applicant was granted thirty (30) days from the date of the director's NOID was issued to submit additional evidence that he wished to be considered in support of his application. As the applicant failed to submit additional evidence, the director found he had not overcome her reasons for denial. Therefore, she denied the application.

On appeal, the applicant states that a delay in his mail service prevented the director's letter from reaching him. He states that he has additional evidence in support of his application and states that previous evidence he submitted was credible. It is noted that no additional evidence was received with the applicant's Form I-290B.

As stated in 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(3)(iv), any appeal which is filed that fails to state the reason for appeal, or is patently frivolous, will be summarily dismissed.

A review of the decision reveals the director accurately set forth a legitimate basis for denial of the application. On appeal, the applicant has not presented additional evidence. Nor has he addressed the grounds stated for denial. The appeal must therefore be summarily dismissed.

**ORDER:** The appeal is dismissed. This decision constitutes a final notice of ineligibility.