


identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

PUBLIC COPY



L2

FILE:



MSC 02 008 62697


Office: DALLAS

Date:

SEP 28 2007

IN RE:


Applicant:



APPLICATION:


Application for Status as a Permanent Resident pursuant to Section 1104 of the Legal Immigration Family Equity (LIFE) Act of 2000, Pub. L. 106-553, 114 Stat. 2762 (2000), *amended by* Life Act Amendments, Pub. L. 106-554, 114 Stat. 2763 (2000).

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:



INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.


Robert P. Wlemann, Chief
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application for permanent resident status under the Legal Immigration Family Equity (LIFE) Act was denied by the District Director, Dallas, Texas, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be rejected.

The director denied the application because the applicant had failed to establish that he satisfied the "basic citizenship skills" required under section 1104(c)(2)(E) of the LIFE Act.

An affected party filing from within the United States has 30 days from the date of an adverse decision to file an appeal. An appeal received after the 30-day period has tolled will not be accepted. The 30-day period for submitting an appeal begins 3 days after the Notice of Decision is mailed. 8 C.F.R. § 245a.20(b)(1)

The record reflects that the director sent her decision of February 8, 2005 to the applicant at his address of record. The Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal, is very clear in indicating that the appeal is not to be sent directly to the AAO. The applicant, nevertheless, sent his appeal to the AAO. The appeal is not considered properly received until it is received by the district office, which rendered the unfavorable decision. The appeal was properly received at the respective district office on March 28, 2005, 48 days after the decision was issued. Accordingly, the appeal was untimely filed, and must be rejected.

ORDER: The appeal is rejected as untimely filed.