

Identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy

U.S. Department of Homeland Security  
20 Mass. Ave., N.W., Rm. 3000  
Washington, DC 20529



U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

PUBLIC COPY

L2



FILE:

MSC 03 185 61508

Office: NEW YORK

Date: APR 17

IN RE:

Applicant: 

APPLICATION: Application for Status as a Permanent Resident pursuant to Section 1104 of the Legal Immigration Family Equity (LIFE) Act of 2000, Pub. L. 106-553, 114 Stat. 2762 (2000), amended by Life Act Amendments, Pub. L. 106-554, 114 Stat. 2763 (2000)

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. If your appeal was dismissed or rejected, all documents have been returned to the National Records Center. You no longer have a case pending before this office, and you are not entitled to file a motion to reopen or reconsider your case. If your appeal was sustained or remanded for further action, you will be contacted.

Robert P. Wiemann, Chief  
Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The application for permanent resident status under the Legal Immigration Family Equity (LIFE) Act was denied by the District Director, New York, New York, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be summarily dismissed.

The director denied the application because the applicant had not demonstrated that he had continuously resided in the United States in an unlawful status since before January 1, 1982, through May 4, 1988.

On appeal, the applicant states that he is appealing to keep his case open and to have enough time to submit additional supporting documentation. The applicant submitted no brief or other documentation with his Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal to the Administrative Appeals Office, and did not request additional time in which to submit further evidence. To date, the AAO has received no additional documentation from the applicant.

As stated in 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(3)(iv), any appeal which is filed that fails to state the reason for appeal, or is patently frivolous, will be summarily dismissed. The applicant has failed to address the reasons stated for denial and has not provided any additional evidence on appeal. The appeal must therefore be summarily dismissed.

The record contains a Form G-28, Notice of Entry of Appearance as Attorney or Representative, purporting to authorize [REDACTED] to act on behalf of the applicant. The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(a)(3) specifies that an applicant may be represented "by an attorney in the United States, as defined in § 1.1(f) of this chapter, by an attorney outside the United States as defined in § 292.1(a)(6) of this chapter, or by an accredited representative as defined in § 292.1(a)(4) of this chapter." In this case, the person listed on the G-28 is not an authorized representative. Further, although [REDACTED] states that he is the president of an accredited organization that assists immigrants with immigration issues, he did not identify his organization. Therefore, the applicant shall be considered as self-represented and the decision will be furnished only to the applicant.

**ORDER:** The appeal is summarily dismissed. This decision constitutes a final notice of ineligibility.