



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy

PUBLIC COPY

L2



FILE:

MSC 02 249 65124

Office: DALLAS

Date:

JUL 02 2008

IN RE: Applicant:



APPLICATION: Application for Status as a Permanent Resident pursuant to Section 1104 of the Legal Immigration Family Equity (LIFE) Act of 2000, Pub. L. 106-553, 114 Stat. 2762 (2000), amended by Life Act Amendments, Pub. L. 106-554, 114 Stat. 2763 (2000).

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

SELF-RESPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. If your appeal was dismissed or rejected, all documents have been returned to the National Benefits Center. You no longer have a case pending before this office, and you are not entitled to file a motion to reopen or reconsider your case. If your appeal was sustained or remanded for further action, you will be contacted.

Robert P. Wiemann, Chief
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application for permanent resident status under the Legal Immigration Family Equity (LIFE) Act was denied by the District Director, Dallas, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be summarily dismissed.

The director denied the application because the applicant had failed to establish that she satisfied the “basic citizenship skills” required under section 1104(c)(2)(E) of the LIFE Act.

On appeal, the applicant asserts that she did not receive any of the notices mentioned in the Notice of Decision and that there is a problem with the mail service in Dallas. She attaches a copy of an article from The Dallas Morning News, dated October 5, 2006, indicating that a man wearing a U.S. postal worker’s uniform was stopped by police for speeding. In his car, the police found opened mail and hordes of letters. The applicant requests another opportunity for an interview.

As stated in 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(3)(iv), any appeal that fails to state the reason for appeal, or is patently frivolous, will be summarily dismissed.

The record reflects that all notices were mailed to the applicant at her address of record. No substantial evidence was presented to indicate that the applicant’s mail was found among the letters described in the above article. In lieu of such documentation, the applicant will be deemed to have been notified of all notices sent to her address of record.

According to the evidence in the record, the applicant was given two opportunities to establish she satisfied the basic citizenship skills requirements. The applicant failed on one occasion and failed to appear on the second occasion. There is no provision in the law to grant the applicant additional opportunities.

A review of the decision reveals that the director accurately set forth a legitimate basis for denial of the application. The appeal must therefore be summarily dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is summarily dismissed. This decision constitutes a final notice of ineligibility.