



U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

**identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy**

**PUBLIC COPY**

L2

FILE: [REDACTED] Office: LOS ANGELES  
MSC 03 251 63740

Date: JUL 31 2008

IN RE: Applicant: [REDACTED]

APPLICATION: Application for Status as a Permanent Resident pursuant to Section 1104 of the Legal Immigration Family Equity (LIFE) Act of 2000, Pub. L. 106-553, 114 Stat. 2762 (2000), *amended by* LIFE Act Amendments, Pub. L. 106-554, 114 Stat. 2763 (2000).

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT: SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. If your appeal was sustained, or if your case was remanded for further action, you will be contacted. If your appeal was dismissed, you no longer have a case pending before this office, and you are not entitled to file a motion to reopen or reconsider your case.

for Robert P. Wiemann, Chief  
Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The application for permanent resident status under the Legal Immigration Family Equity (LIFE) Act was denied by the District Director (director) in Los Angeles, California. The decision is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The director denied the application on the grounds that the applicant failed to establish (1) that he entered the United States before January 1, 1982 and resided continuously in the United States in an unlawful status from before January 1982 through May 4, 1988, and (2) that he is admissible, in that he was twice convicted of possession of a controlled substance, in 1986 and 1988.<sup>1</sup>

On his Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal to the AAO filed on August 15, 2006, the applicant stated, "I am hereby requesting for the service to grant me time to submit additional evidence and a brief to substantiate my claim." The applicant indicated that he will be sending a brief and/or evidence to the AAO within 30 days. The applicant did not allege any legal or factual error in the director's decision and did not submit additional documents. As of the date of this decision, no additional evidence has been submitted, and the record will be deemed complete.

Any appeal that fails to state the reason for appeal, or is patently frivolous, will be summarily dismissed. 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(3)(iv).

A review of the decision reveals that the director accurately set forth a legitimate basis for denial of the application. On appeal, the applicant has not presented additional evidence. Nor has he addressed the basis for denial. The appeal must therefore be summarily dismissed.

**ORDER:** The appeal is dismissed. This decision constitutes a final notice of ineligibility.

---

<sup>1</sup> Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Los Angeles. Case No. A093635 and Case No. A737202.