

identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy

PUBLIC COPY

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
20 Mass. Ave., N.W., Rm. 3000
Washington, DC 20529



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

L2

FILE:

MSC 01 261 60392

Office: NEW YORK

Date:

JUN 24 2008

IN RE:

Applicant:


APPLICATION:

Application for Status as a Permanent Resident pursuant to Section 1104 of the Legal Immigration Family Equity (LIFE) Act of 2000, Pub. L. 106-553, 114 Stat. 2762 (2000), amended by LIFE Act Amendments, Pub. L. 106-554, 114 Stat. 2763 (2000).

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. If your appeal was dismissed or rejected, all documents have been returned to the National Benefits Center. You no longer have a case pending before this office, and you are not entitled to file a motion to reopen or reconsider your case. If your appeal was sustained or remanded for further action, you will be contacted.


Robert P. Wiemann, Chief
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application for permanent resident status under the Legal Immigration Family Equity (LIFE) Act was denied by the District Director, New York, New York, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The applicant submitted a Form I-485, Application to Register Permanent Resident or Adjust Status, under section 1104 of the LIFE Act on June 12, 1001. The district director denied the application on January 27, 2006, after determining that the applicant's testimony had been discredited and the documentation submitted was questionable, not verifiable, and insufficient to establish that he had continuously resided in the United States in an unlawful status for the duration of the requisite period.

The applicant filed a Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal to the Administrative Appeals Office, on February 10, 2006. On appeal, the applicant indicates that he is not submitting a separate brief or evidence.

As stated in 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(3)(iv), any appeal which is filed that fails to state the reason for appeal, or is patently frivolous, will be summarily dismissed.

A review of the decision reveals the district director accurately set forth a legitimate basis for denial of the application. On appeal, the applicant has not presented additional evidence. Nor has he addressed the grounds stated for denial of the application. Therefore, the appeal must be summarily dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed. This decision constitutes a final notice of ineligibility.