

**PUBLIC COPY**


U.S. Department of Homeland Security  
20 Mass. Ave., 4th Fl., Washington, DC 20530

**identifying data deleted to  
prevent disclosure of information  
invasion of personal privacy**



**U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services**

L2



FILE:



Office: SEATTLE (TUKWILA)

Date: **SEP 22 2008**

MSC-03-270-60775

IN RE: Applicant:



APPLICATION: Application for Status as a Permanent Resident pursuant to Section 1104 of the Legal Immigration Family Equity (LIFE) Act of 2000, Pub. L. 106-553, 114 Stat. 2762 (2000), amended by Life Act Amendments, Pub. L. 106-554, 114 Stat. 2763 (2000).

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. The file has been returned to the National Benefits Center. If your appeal was sustained, or if the matter was remanded for further action, you will be contacted. If your appeal was dismissed, you no longer have a case pending before this office, and you are not entitled to file a motion to reopen or reconsider your case.

  
Robert P. Wiemann, Director  
Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The application for permanent resident status under the Legal Immigration Family Equity (LIFE) Act was denied by the Director, Seattle, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

In his Notice of Intent to Deny (NOID) the application, the director stated that the applicant did not satisfy his burden of proving that he resided continuously in the United States for the duration of the requisite period. In saying this, the director noted that affidavits submitted in support of the application were not consistent with the applicant's Form I-687 in the record regarding his address of residence during the requisite period. The director granted the applicant 30 days within which to submit additional evidence in support of his application. In response to the NOID, the applicant submitted a letter that stated that he had already submitted documents in support of his application. However, the director found that this letter did not overcome the reasons for the denial of his application as stated in the NOID. Therefore, the director denied the application.

On appeal, the applicant asserts that the affidavits he previously submitted were verifiable and credible. He fails to submit additional evidence for consideration in support of his application.

As stated in 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(3)(iv), any appeal which is filed that fails to state the reason for appeal, or is patently frivolous, will be summarily dismissed.

A review of the decision reveals the director accurately set forth a legitimate basis for denial of the application. On appeal, the applicant has not presented additional evidence. Nor has he addressed the grounds stated for denial. The appeal must therefore be summarily dismissed.

**ORDER:** The appeal is dismissed. This decision constitutes a final notice of ineligibility.