

identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy



U. S. Department of Homeland Security
U. S. Citizenship and Immigration Services
Office of Administrative Appeals MS 2090
Washington, DC 20529-2090

U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

PUBLIC COPY

28

FILE:

MSC-06-006-12210

Office: LOS ANGELES

Date: **MAY 05 2009**

IN RE:

Applicant:

APPLICATION:

Application for Waiver of Inadmissibility pursuant to Section 245A(d)(2)(B)(i) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, as amended, 8 U.S.C. § 1255a(d)(2)(B)(i)

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. If your appeal was dismissed or rejected, all documents have been returned to the National Benefits Center. You no longer have a case pending before this office, and you are not entitled to file a motion to reopen or reconsider your case. If your appeal was sustained or remanded for further action, you will be contacted.

John F. Grissom
Acting Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application for temporary resident status pursuant to the terms of the settlement agreements reached in *Catholic Social Services, Inc., et al., v. Ridge, et al.*, CIV. NO. S-86-1343-LKK (E.D. Cal) January 23, 2004, and *Felicity Mary Newman, et al., v. United States Immigration and Citizenship Services, et al.*, CIV. NO. 87-4757-WDK (C.D. Cal) February 17, 2004 (CSS/Newman Settlement Agreements), was denied by the Field Office Director, Los Angeles. That decision is now before the Administrative Appeals Office on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The applicant filed a Form I-690, Application for Waiver of Grounds of Inadmissibility Under Section 245A of the Act. The applicant seeks a waiver of the ground of inadmissibility arising under section 212(a)(9)(B) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(9)(B). The director determined that the applicant failed to provide any humanitarian, public interest or family unity reasons for the approval of his waiver, and denied the application.

On appeal, the applicant asserted that he is a CSS class member and qualifies for temporary resident status. The applicant stated that he needs another opportunity or interview to explain the discrepancies in his case. However, the applicant failed to specifically address the director's analysis of his evidence, and did not furnish any additional evidence.

As stated in 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(3)(iv), any appeal that fails to state the reason for appeal, or is patently frivolous, will be summarily dismissed.

A review of the decision reveals that the director accurately set forth a legitimate basis for denial of the application. On appeal, the applicant has not presented additional evidence. Nor has he specifically addressed the basis for denial. The appeal must therefore be summarily dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed. This decision constitutes a final notice of ineligibility.