


U.S. Department of Homeland Security

Bureau of Citizenship and Immigration Services

MI

PUBLIC COPY

ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS OFFICE
425 Eye Street N.W.
BCIS, AAO, 20 Mass, 3/F
Washington, D.C. 20536



FILE:



OFFICE:

TEXAS SERVICE CENTER

DATE: **AUG 18 2003**

IN RE: Applicant:



APPLICATION:

Application for Temporary Protected Status under Section 244
of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1254

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT: SELF-REPRESENTED

identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case.
Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Cindy N. Gomez for
Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application was denied by the Director, Texas Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office on appeal. The appeal will be rejected.

The applicant is a native and citizen of Honduras who is seeking Temporary Protected Status (TPS) under section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1254a.

The director determined that the applicant failed to submit evidence to establish that he was eligible for filing after the initial registration period from January 5, 1999 to August 20, 1999. The director, therefore, denied the application.

8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(2)(v)(B) states:

Untimely appeal--(1) Rejection without refund of filing fee. An appeal which is not filed within the time allowed must be rejected as improperly filed. In such a case, any filing fee the Service has accepted will not be refunded.

Untimely appeal--(2) Untimely appeal treated as motion. If an untimely appeal meets the requirements of a motion to reopen as described in section 103.5(a)(2) of this part or a motion to reconsider as described in section 103.5(a)(3) of this part, the appeal must be treated as a motion, and a decision must be made on the merits of the case.

The applicant, on appeal, states he has submitted all the documentation requested and that he has been scheduled for an appointment for fingerprinting. The applicant does not address the issue of eligibility for late registration. Thus, the applicant's statement on appeal does not meet the requirements of a motion.

Whenever a person has the right or is required to do some act within a prescribed period after the service of a motion and the notice is served by mail, three days shall be added to the prescribed period. Service by mail is complete upon mailing. 8 C.F.R. § 103.5a(b).

The decision, dated August 27, 2002, clearly advised the applicant that any appeal must be filed within thirty days. Coupled with three days for mailing, the appeal in this case should have been filed on or before September 29, 2002. The appeal was dated by the applicant on September 14, 2002 and received on January 10, 2003.

Based upon the applicant's failure to file a timely appeal, the appeal will be rejected.



ORDER: The appeal is rejected.

11-11-11