

U.S. Department of Homeland Security

Citizenship and Immigration Services

PUBLIC COPY

**identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy**

ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS OFFICE
CIS, AAO, 20 Mass, 3/F
425 I Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20536



File:



Office: Vermont Service Center

Date:

DEC 15 2003

IN RE: Applicant:



Application: Application for Temporary Protected Status under Section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1254

IN BEHALF OF APPLICANT: Self-represented

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Cindy N. Gomez for
Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application was denied by the Director, Vermont Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office on appeal. The appeal will be rejected.

The applicant is a native and citizen of Honduras who indicated on his application that he entered the United States in August 1995, without a lawful admission or parole. The director denied the application for Temporary Protected Status (TPS) under section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1254, because the applicant failed to establish he was eligible for late registration.

8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(2)(v)(B) states:

Untimely appeal--(1) Rejection without refund of filing fee. An appeal which is not filed within the time allowed must be rejected as improperly filed. In such a case, any filing fee the Bureau has accepted will not be refunded.

Untimely appeal--(2) Untimely appeal treated as motion. If an untimely appeal meets the requirements of a motion to reopen as described in section 103.5(a)(2) of this part or a motion to reconsider as described in section 103.5(a)(3) of this part, the appeal must be treated as a motion, and a decision must be made on the merits of the case.

On appeal, the applicant states that he "was a nonimmigrant" and requests that his case be reviewed. However, the applicant fails to provide any evidence or explanation of this assertion. Furthermore, the applicant does not address the issue of eligibility for late registration. Thus, the applicant's submission does not meet the requirements of a motion.

Whenever a person has the right or is required to do some act within a prescribed period after the service of a notice upon him and the notice is served by mail, three days shall be added to the prescribed period. Service by mail is complete upon mailing. 8 C.F.R. § 103.5a(b).

The decision, dated March 17, 2003, clearly advised the applicant that any appeal must be filed within thirty days. Coupled with three days for mailing the appeal, in this case, should have been filed on or before April 19, 2003. The appeal was dated by the applicant on April 10, 2003, and received by CIS on April 21, 2003.

Based upon the applicant's failure to file a timely appeal, the appeal will be rejected.

ORDER: The appeal is rejected.