

PUBLIC COPY

M1

U.S. Department of Homeland Security

Citizenship and Immigration Services

**identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy**

ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS OFFICE
CIS, AAO, 20 Mass, 3/F
425 I Street, N. W.
Washington, DC 20536



File:



Office: Texas Service Center

Date:

DEC 18 2003

IN RE:

Applicant:



Application:

Application for Temporary Protected Status under Section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1254

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

Self-represented

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application was denied by the Director, Texas Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office on appeal. The appeal will be rejected.

The applicant is a native and citizen of Honduras who indicated on his application that he entered the United States on April 27, 1998, without a lawful admission or parole. The director denied the application for Temporary Protected Status (TPS) under section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1254, for failure to respond to a request for evidence to establish his eligibility for late registration.

If all requested initial evidence and requested additional evidence is not submitted by the required date, the application or petition shall be considered abandoned and, accordingly, shall be denied. 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(b)(13). A denial due to abandonment may not be appealed, but an applicant or petitioner may file a motion to reopen. 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(b)(15).

The record reveals that the applicant filed his application on June 17, 2002. On June 28, 2002, the applicant was requested to submit additional evidence establishing his qualifying residence and physical presence in the United States as well as his eligibility for late registration. The record does not contain a response from the applicant; therefore, the director concluded that the applicant had abandoned his application and issued a Notice of Denial on August 14, 2002. On appeal, the applicant reasserted his claim of eligibility.

There is no appeal of the director's decision in the present matter. If the applicant has additional evidence for the record, such documentation should be forwarded on a motion to reopen to the office having jurisdiction over the present application (the office that rendered the initial decision). Since there is no appeal of the decision in the present matter, the appeal will be rejected.

ORDER: The appeal is rejected.