

M1

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
Bureau of Citizenship and Immigration Services

identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy



ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS OFFICE
425 Eye Street N.W.
ULLB, 3rd Floor
Washington, D.C. 20536

File:

Office: Atlanta (CLT)

Date: MAR 12 2003

IN RE: Applicant:

Application: Application for Temporary Protected Status under Section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1254

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT: Self-represented

PUBLIC COPY

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application was denied by the District Director, Atlanta District Office, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office on appeal. The appeal will be rejected.

The applicant is a native and citizen of Honduras who indicated on his application that he entered the United States in May 1991, without a lawful admission or parole. The district director denied the application for Temporary Protected Status (TPS) under section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1254, for failure to respond to a request for information.

On appeal, the applicant claims that he received the Notice of Request for Additional Information "after the period of time that I was granted to respond." The applicant submits documentation in an effort to establish his residence and physical presence in the United States.

8 C.F.R. § 103.2(b)(13) provides that if all requested initial evidence is not submitted by the required date, the application or petition shall be considered abandoned and, accordingly, shall be denied. 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(b)(15) provides that a denial due to abandonment may not be appealed, but an applicant or petitioner may file a motion to reopen under 8 C.F.R. § 103.5.

There is no appeal of the district director's decision in the present matter. If the applicant has additional evidence for the record, such documentation should be forwarded on a motion to reopen to the office having jurisdiction over the present application (the office which rendered the initial decision). Since there is no appeal of the decision in the present matter, the appeal will be rejected.

ORDER: The appeal is rejected.