

**PUBLIC COPY**

**identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy**

*M*

U.S. Department of Homeland Security  
20 Mass. Rm. A3042, 425 I Street, N.W.  
Washington, DC 20536



**U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services**

[Redacted]

FILE:

[Redacted]

Office: Vermont Service Center

Date:

**APR 08 2004**

IN RE:

Applicant:

[Redacted]

APPLICATION:

Application for Temporary Protected Status under Section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1254

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

Self-represented

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann, Director  
Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The application was denied by the Director, Vermont Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The applicant is a native and citizen of El Salvador who is seeking Temporary Protected Status (TPS) under section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1254. The director denied the application because the applicant failed to establish she had continuously resided in the United States since February 13, 2001.

On appeal, the applicant stated that the evidence she previously provided did not overcome the director's intent to deny her application for TPS.

Section 244(c) of the Act, and the related regulations in 8 C.F.R. § 244.2, provide that an applicant who is a national of a foreign state is eligible for temporary protected status only if such alien establishes that he or she:

- (a) is a national of a state designated under section 244(b) of the Act;
- (b) has been continuously physically present in the United States since the effective date of the most recent designation of that foreign state;
- (c) has continuously resided in the United States since such date as the Attorney General may designate;
- (d) is admissible as an immigrant under section 244.3;
- (e) is not ineligible under 8 C.F.R. § 244.4; and
- (f)
  - (1) registers for TPS during the initial registration period, or
  - (2) registers for TPS during any subsequent extension of such designation, if the applicant meets the above listed requirements and:
    - (i) the applicant is a nonimmigrant or has been granted voluntary departure status or any relief from removal;
    - (ii) the applicant has an application for change of status, adjustment of status, asylum, voluntary departure, or any relief from removal which is pending or subject to further review or appeal;
    - (iii) the applicant is a parolee or has a pending request for reparole; or
    - (iv) the applicant is a spouse or child of an alien currently eligible to be a TPS registrant.

The phrase continuously physically present, as defined in 8 C.F.R. § 244.1, means actual physical presence in the United States for the entire period specified in the regulations. An alien shall not be considered to have failed to maintain continuous physical presence in the United States by virtue of brief, casual, and innocent absences as defined within this section.

The phrase continuously resided, as defined in 8 C.F.R. § 244.1, means residing in the United States for the entire period specified in the regulations. An alien shall not be considered to have failed to maintain continuous residence in the United States by reason of a brief, casual and innocent absence as defined within this section or due merely to a brief temporary trip abroad required by emergency or extenuating circumstances outside the control of the alien.

The phrase brief, casual, and innocent absence, as defined in 8 C.F.R. § 244.1, means a departure from the United States that satisfies the following criteria:

- (1) Each such absence was of short duration and reasonably calculated to accomplish the purpose(s) for the absence;
- (2) The absence was not the result of an order of deportation, an order of voluntary departure, or an administrative grant of voluntary departure without the institution of deportation proceedings; and
- (3) The purposes for the absence from the United States or actions while outside of the United States were not contrary to law.

Persons applying for TPS offered to El Salvadorans must demonstrate entry on or prior to February 13, 2001, continuous residence in the United States since February 13, 2001, and continuous physical presence in the United States since March 9, 2001. On July 9, 2002, the Attorney General announced an extension of the TPS designation until September 9, 2003. A subsequent extension of the TPS designation has been granted by the Secretary of the Department of Homeland Security, with validity until March 9, 2005, upon the applicant's re-registration during the requisite time period.

The burden of proof is upon the applicant to establish that he or she meets the above requirements. Applicants shall submit all documentation as required in the instructions or requested by Citizenship and Immigration Services (CIS). 8 C.F.R. § 244.9(a). The sufficiency of all evidence will be judged according to its relevancy, consistency, credibility, and probative value. To meet his or her burden of proof the applicant must provide supporting documentary evidence of eligibility apart from his or her own statements. 8 C.F.R. § 244.9(b).

Along with her application for TPS, the applicant submitted the following documentation:

1. A copy of her Salvadoran birth certificate in Spanish with an English translation.
2. Copies of her Salvadoran identification card.
3. A copy of her Internal Revenue Service (IRS) Form W-2, Wage and Tax Statement, reflecting income for the year 2001.

On March 17, 2003, the applicant was requested to submit evidence establishing her residence since February 13, 2001. The applicant, in response, provided the following documentation:

4. A letter dated March 28, 2003 from an acquaintance, Ms. Norma Ayala, who stated that she had known that the applicant had been a resident of the United States since December 2000.
5. Copies of the applicant's Maryland State Income Tax Forms 502 for the years 2001 and 2002.
6. Copies of the applicant's IRS Federal Income Tax 1040 forms for the years 2001 and 2002.

The director determined that the applicant had failed to submit sufficient evidence to establish her eligibility for TPS and denied the application on April 25, 2003. On appeal, the applicant submitted the following documentation:

7. An affidavit dated May 5, 2003, from Mr. Santiago Romero who testified that he had known that the applicant had been a resident of the United States since January 2001.
8. An affidavit dated March 28, 2003, from Ms. Norma Ayala who testified that she had known that the applicant had been a resident of the United States since December 2000.

The statements in Nos. 4, 7, and 8 above, regarding the applicant's claimed presence in the United States, are not supported by any corroborative evidence. It is reasonable to expect that the applicant would have some type of contemporaneous evidence to support these assertions; however, no such evidence has been provided. Affidavits from acquaintances are not, by themselves, persuasive evidence of residence or presence.

The tax documents detailed in Nos. 3, 5, and 6 above indicate that the applicant was in the United States during the year 2001. However, these documents do not provide the actual dates of employment. The burden is on the applicant to establish her residence since February 13, 2001.

It is also worth noting that the photocopied IRS W-2 form in No. 3 above appears to have been altered as the original addressee's name and address seem to have been covered-over, with the applicant's name and address inserted in its place. Further, the font of the addressee information is dissimilar from the rest of the employee information inserted on the form. Also, the form is missing the employee's social security number.

Doubt cast on any aspect of the applicant's proof may lead to a reevaluation of the reliability and sufficiency of the remaining evidence offered in support of the application. It is incumbent upon the applicant to resolve any inconsistencies in the record by independent objective evidence, and attempts to explain or reconcile such inconsistencies, absent competent objective evidence pointing to where the truth lies, will not suffice. *Matter of Ho*, 19 I&N Dec. 582 (BIA 1988).

The applicant has not submitted sufficient credible evidence to establish her qualifying residence in the United States since February 13, 2001. She has, therefore, failed to establish that she has met the criteria described in 8 C.F.R. § 244.2(b). Consequently, the director's decision to deny the application for temporary protected status will be affirmed.

An alien applying for temporary protected status has the burden of proving that he or she meets the requirements enumerated above and is otherwise eligible under the provisions of section 244 of the Act. The applicant has failed to meet this burden.



Page 5

**ORDER:** The appeal is dismissed.