



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

MI

[Redacted]

FILE:

[Redacted]

Office: NEBRASKA SERVICE CENTER

Date:

4/10/07

IN RE:

Applicant:

[Redacted]

APPLICATION:

Application for Temporary Protected Status under Section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1254

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

[Redacted]

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

for

Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

Identifying data deleted to
prevent identity misappropriation
violation of personal privacy

DISCUSSION: The application was denied by the Director, Nebraska Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The applicant is a native and citizen of El Salvador who indicated on his application that he entered the United States without a lawful admission or parole.

The director denied the application for Temporary Protected Status (TPS) under section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1254, because the applicant failed to establish he was eligible for late registration. The director also found that the applicant had failed to establish his qualifying residence and physical presence in the United States during the requisite periods.

On appeal, counsel submits a statement and additional documentation.

Section 244(c) of the Act, and the related regulations in 8 C.F.R. § 244.2, provide that an applicant who is a national of a foreign state is eligible for temporary protected status only if such alien establishes that he or she:

- (a) Is a national of a state designated under section 244(b) of the Act;
- (b) Has been continuously physically present in the United States since the effective date of the most recent designation of that foreign state;
- (c) Has continuously resided in the United States since such date as the Attorney General may designate;
- (d) Is admissible as an immigrant except as provided under section 244.3;
- (e) Is not ineligible under 8 C.F.R. § 244.4; and
- (f)
 - (1) Registers for Temporary Protected Status during the initial registration period announced by public notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER, or
 - (2) During any subsequent extension of such designation if at the time of the initial registration period:
 - (i) The applicant is a nonimmigrant or has been granted voluntary departure status or any relief from removal;
 - (ii) The applicant has an application for change of status, adjustment of status, asylum, voluntary departure, or any relief from removal which is pending or subject to further review or appeal;
 - (iii) The applicant is a parolee or has a pending request for reparole; or

- (iv) The applicant is a spouse or child of an alien currently eligible to be a TPS registrant,
- (g) Has filed an application for late registration with the appropriate Service director within a 60-day period immediately following the expiration or termination of conditions described in paragraph (f)(2) of this section.

The phrase continuously physically present, as defined in 8 C.F.R. § 244.1, means actual physical presence in the United States for the entire period specified in the regulations. An alien shall not be considered to have failed to maintain continuous physical presence in the United States by virtue of brief, casual, and innocent absences as defined within this section.

The phrase continuously resided, as defined in 8 C.F.R. § 244.1, means residing in the United States for the entire period specified in the regulations. An alien shall not be considered to have failed to maintain continuous residence in the United States by reason of a brief, casual and innocent absence as defined within this section or due merely to a brief temporary trip abroad required by emergency or extenuating circumstances outside the control of the alien.

The phrase brief, casual, and innocent absence, as defined in 8 C.F.R. § 244.1, means a departure from the United States that satisfies the following criteria:

- (1) Each such absence was of short duration and reasonably calculated to accomplish the purpose(s) for the absence;
- (2) The absence was not the result of an order of deportation, an order of voluntary departure, or an administrative grant of voluntary departure without the institution of deportation proceedings; and
- (3) The purposes for the absence from the United States or actions while outside of the United States were not contrary to law.

Persons applying for TPS offered to El Salvadorans must demonstrate entry on or prior to February 13, 2001, continuous residence in the United States since February 13, 2001, and continuous physical presence in the United States since March 9, 2001. On July 9, 2002, the Attorney General announced an extension of the TPS designation until September 9, 2003. A subsequent extension of the TPS designation has been granted by the Secretary of the Department of Homeland Security, with validity until March 9, 2005, upon the applicant's re-registration during the requisite time period. The record reveals that the applicant filed his application with the Immigration and Naturalization Service, now CIS, on November 14, 2002.

The burden of proof is upon the applicant to establish that he or she meets the above requirements. Applicants shall submit all documentation as required in the instructions or requested by CIS. 8 C.F.R. § 244.9(a). The sufficiency of all evidence will be judged according to its relevancy, consistency, credibility, and probative value. To meet his or her burden of proof the applicant must provide supporting documentary evidence of eligibility apart from his or her own statements. 8 C.F.R. § 244.9(b).

The first issue in this proceeding is whether the applicant is eligible for late registration.

It is noted for the record that the applicant initially applied for TPS on April 24, 2001, and was denied for late registration and lack of evidence for continuous residence and physical presence on September 17, 2001. The record does not reflect that the applicant submitted an appeal.

The record of proceedings confirms that the applicant filed his application after the initial registration period had closed. To qualify for late registration, the applicant must provide evidence that during the initial registration period, he was either in a valid immigration status, had an application pending for relief from removal, was a parolee, or was the spouse or child of an alien currently eligible to be a TPS registrant, and he had filed an application for late registration within 60 days of the expiration or termination of the conditions described in 8 C.F.R. § 244.2(f)(2).

On March 24, 2003, the applicant was requested to submit evidence establishing his eligibility for late registration as set forth in 8 C.F.R. § 244.2(f)(2). The applicant was also requested to submit evidence establishing his qualifying residence and physical presence in the United States. The applicant, in response, provided documentation relating to his residence and physical presence in the United States. The applicant, however, failed to establish his eligibility for late registration.

The director determined that the applicant had failed to establish he was eligible for late registration and denied the application on June 13, 2003.

On appeal, counsel states that the applicant has additional evidence to submit regarding his continuous residence and physical presence in the United States. Counsel fails to address the issue of late registration.

The applicant submitted evidence in an attempt to establish his qualifying residence and physical presence in the United States. However, this evidence does not mitigate the applicant's failure to file his Application for TPS within the initial registration period. The applicant has not submitted any evidence to establish that he has met any of the criteria for late registration described in 8 C.F.R. § 244.2(f)(2). Consequently, the director's conclusion that the applicant had failed to establish his eligibility for late registration will be affirmed.

The second issue in this proceeding is whether the applicant has established his continuous residence in the United States since February 13, 2001, or his physical presence in the United States since March 9, 2001.

The applicant initially submitted a copy of his birth certificate, an affidavit from [REDACTED] and an affidavit from his uncle [REDACTED].

As stated above, the applicant was requested on March 24, 2003, to submit evidence establishing his qualifying residence and physical presence in the United States. The applicant, in response, provided the following documentation:

1. A letter from the Open Door Health Clinic that stated that the applicant received vaccinations in 1991;
2. A letter from [REDACTED] that stated that the applicant started English class on April 3, 2001, and a computer class on November 5, 2002;
3. Insurance papers dated June 12, 2002, and February 19, 2003, and a hand written receipt dated February 21, 2003;

4. A copy of his Registration Acknowledgment Card for Selective Service dated February 26, 2003; and,
5. Copies of various hand written payment receipts for 2003.

The director determined that the applicant had failed to submit sufficient evidence to establish his eligibility for TPS and denied the application on June 13, 2003.

On appeal, counsel submits the following documentation;

6. A letter from [REDACTED] that states that the applicant worked for her from October 15, 2000 to December 5, 2000;
7. A letter from [REDACTED] that states that the applicant has been a client at the store since January 2001;
8. Copies of three hand written receipts from [REDACTED] dated February 18, 2001, March 19, 2001, and April 15, 2001;
9. A copy of an envelope from the applicant to his former attorney dated August 7, 2001;
10. A letter from his former attorney dated April 4, 2001;
11. A copy of the applicant's W-2 Wage and Tax Statement for 2001;
12. A copy of a hand written receipt from World Wide Furniture dated June 10, 2001; and,
13. A letter of employment from Echo Incorporated that states that the applicant has been employed since December 3, 2001 as an assembler.

The copies of hand written receipts provided by the applicant are not supported by any other corroborative evidence. While 8 C.F.R. § 244.9(a)(2)(vi) specifically states that additional documents such as money order receipts "may" be accepted in support of the applicant's claim, the regulations do not suggest that such evidence alone is necessarily sufficient to establish the applicant's qualifying residence or physical presence in the United States. The applicant claims to have lived in the United States since 2000. It is reasonable to expect that the applicant would have some other type of contemporaneous evidence to support these receipts; however, no such evidence has been provided.

The employment affidavits from Echo Incorporated and [REDACTED] have little evidentiary weight or probative value as they do not provide basic information that is expressly required by 8 C.F.R. § 244.9(a)(2)(i). Specifically, the affiants do not provide the address where the applicant resided during the period of his employment.

The statements from [REDACTED] regarding the applicant's claimed presence in the United States before December 30, 1998 are not supported by any corroborative evidence. It is reasonable to expect that the applicant would have some type of contemporaneous evidence to support these assertions; however, no such evidence has been provided. Affidavits from acquaintances and relatives are not, by themselves, persuasive evidence of residence or presence. The applicant has, therefore, failed to establish that he has met the residence and physical presence requirements described in 8 C.F.R. §§ 244.2(b) and (c). Consequently, the director's decision to deny the application for temporary protected status for these additional reasons will also be affirmed.

An alien applying for TPS has the burden of proving that he or she meets the requirements enumerated above and is otherwise eligible under the provisions of section 244 of the Act. The applicant has failed to meet this burden.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.