



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

MAI



FILE:



Office: CALIFORNIA SERVICE CENTER

Date:

AUG 23 2004

IN RE:

Applicant:



APPLICATION:

Application for Temporary Protected Status under Section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1254

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

Self-represented

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Robert P. Wiemann".

for Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application was denied by the Director, California Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be rejected.

The applicant is a native and citizen of El Salvador who is seeking Temporary Protected Status (TPS) under section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1254. The director denied the application after determining that the applicant had abandoned her application by failing to respond to a request for evidence.

If all requested initial evidence and requested additional evidence is not submitted by the required date, the application or petition shall be considered abandoned and, accordingly, shall be denied. 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(b)(13). A denial due to abandonment may not be appealed, but an applicant or petitioner may file a motion to reopen. 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(b)(15).

In a notice of intent to deny, dated January 31, 2003, the applicant was requested to submit evidence establishing her eligibility for late registration. The applicant was also requested to submit evidence of her nationality and identity. The applicant was further requested to submit evidence to establish that she had been continuously residing in the United States since February 13, 2001, and had been continuously physically present in the United States since March 9, 2001. The applicant was given 30 days to present the requested evidence. The applicant failed to respond to the notice of intent to deny.

The applicant filed an appeal of the denial due to abandonment, which was issued on August 27, 2003. It is noted that a subsequent denial was issued by the director on November 4, 2003, also for abandonment. The director erroneously advised the applicant that she could file an appeal from this decision within 30 days. As the director's decision was based on abandonment, the AAO has no jurisdiction over this case. The director's error does not, and cannot, supersede the regulations. Therefore, the appeal must be rejected.

However, in the director's discretion, he may reopen the decision on a Service motion pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(5), or excuse the late filing of a new motion under the requirements of 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i).

ORDER: The appeal is rejected.