

MI

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
20 Mass, Rm. A3042, 425 I Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20529



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services



FILE: [Redacted] Office: CALIFORNIA SERVICE CENTER Date: [Redacted]

IN RE: Applicant: [Redacted]

APPLICATION: Application for Temporary Protected Status under Section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1254

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT: SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

*Identifying data deleted to
protect information...*

PUBLIC COPY

DISCUSSION: The application was denied by the Director, California Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be rejected.

The applicant is a native and citizen of Honduras who is seeking Temporary Protected Status (TPS) under section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1254. The director denied the application after determining that the applicant had abandoned her application by failing to respond to a request for evidence.

If all requested initial evidence and requested additional evidence is not submitted by the required date, the application or petition shall be considered abandoned and, accordingly, shall be denied. 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(b)(13). A denial due to abandonment may not be appealed, but an applicant or petitioner may file a motion to reopen. 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(b)(15).

The record shows that the applicant filed her Form I-821, Application for Temporary Protected Status, on June 28, 2001. On October 9, 2003, the applicant was requested to submit evidence to establish her date of entry into the United States, and evidence of her continuous residence in the United States since December 30, 1998 and her continuous physical presence in the United States since January 5, 1999. The applicant failed to respond to the notice. On November 14, 2003, the director denied the application because the applicant had abandoned her application by failing to respond to the request for evidence. The director erroneously advised the applicant that she could file an appeal from this decision within 30 days. As the director's decision was based on abandonment, the AAO has no jurisdiction over this case. The director's error does not, and cannot, supersede the regulations. Therefore, the appeal must be rejected.

However, in the director's discretion, he may reopen the decision on a Service motion pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(5), or excuse the late filing of a new motion under the requirements of 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i).

ORDER: The appeal is rejected.