




U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

MM



FILE:



Office: CALIFORNIA SERVICE CENTER

Date:

IN RE:

Applicant:



APPLICATION:

Application for Temporary Protected Status under Section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1254

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application was denied by the Director, California Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be rejected.

The applicant is a native and citizen of Honduras who is seeking Temporary Protected Status (TPS) under section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1254. The director denied the application after determining that the applicant had abandoned his application by failing to respond to a request for evidence.

If all requested initial evidence and requested additional evidence is not submitted by the required date, the application or petition shall be considered abandoned and, accordingly, shall be denied. 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(b)(13). A denial due to abandonment may not be appealed, but an applicant or petitioner may file a motion to reopen. 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(b)(15).

The record shows that the applicant filed his Form I-821, Application for Temporary Protected Status, on July 30, 2003. On October 10, 2003, the applicant was requested to provide evidence to establish that he was eligible for late registration and evidence of nationality. The applicant failed to respond to the notice. On November 17, 2003, the director denied the application because the applicant had abandoned his application by failing to respond to the director's request for additional evidence. The director erroneously advised the applicant that he could file an appeal from this decision within 30 days. As the director's decision was based on abandonment, the AAO has no jurisdiction over this case. The director's error does not, and can not, supersede the regulations. Therefore, the appeal must be rejected.

However, in the director's discretion, he may reopen the decision on a Service motion pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(5), or excuse the late filing of a new motion under the requirements of 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i).

It is noted that the applicant was previously deported from the United States to Honduras on October 13, 1998. However, he indicated on the Form I-821 that he has never been under immigration proceedings in the United States. Therefore, the applicant may be excludable under section 212(a)(6)(C) of the Act for willful misrepresentation of a material fact in order to obtain an immigration benefit.

ORDER: The appeal is rejected.