

identity information collected to  
prevent identity theft, to prevent  
invasion of personal privacy

U.S. Department of Homeland Security  
20 Massachusetts Ave., N.W., Rm. A3042  
Washington, DC 20529



U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

PUBLIC COPY



MI

FILE: [Redacted] Office: CALIFORNIA SERVICE CENTER Date: DEC 16 2004

IN RE: Applicant: [Redacted]

APPLICATION: Application for Temporary Protected Status under Section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1254

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT: Self-represented

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "R. Wiemann".

Robert P. Wiemann, Director  
Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The application was denied by the Director, California Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office on appeal. The appeal will be summarily dismissed.

The applicant is a native and citizen of Honduras who is seeking Temporary Protected Status (TPS) under section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1254.

The director determined that the applicant had been convicted of either a felony or two or more misdemeanors on September 13, 1998 and on October 31, 2000. The director, therefore, denied the application on March 26, 2003.

The applicant makes no statement on appeal. He checked the block: "I need 180 days to submit a brief and/or evidence to the AAU." To date, no additional statement or evidence has been provided.

8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v) states, in pertinent part:

*Summary dismissal.* An officer to whom an appeal is taken shall summarily dismiss any appeal when the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal.

Inasmuch as the applicant has failed to identify specifically an erroneous conclusion of law or a statement of fact in this proceeding, the appeal must be summarily dismissed.

Aside from the director's findings, it is noted that the applicant failed to submit the final court dispositions of his arrests in Los Angeles, California, on March 16, 1988, for grand theft auto, and on February 18, 1996, for an unspecified traffic offense.

It is further noted in the record that a Warrant of Removal/Deportation, Form I-205, was issued on March 7, 2001, in Los Angeles, California, based on the final order of removal issued by an immigration judge on February 2, 2001, and that the applicant failed to appear at the Los Angeles district office on April 25, 2001, for his enforced departure.

**ORDER:** The appeal is summarily dismissed.