


PUBLIC COPY




U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

MI

**Identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy**



FILE:



Office: Texas Service Center Date:

JUL 01 2004

IN RE:

Applicant:



APPLICATION:

Application for Temporary Protected Status under Section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1254

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

Self-represented

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application was denied by the Director, Texas Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The applicant is a native and citizen of El Salvador who is seeking Temporary Protected Status (TPS) under section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1254.

The director denied the application because the applicant failed to establish he had been continuously physically present in the United States since March 9, 2001.

On appeal, the applicant submits a statement and additional documentation.

Section 244(c) of the Act, and the related regulations in 8 C.F.R. § 244.2, provide that an applicant who is a national of a foreign state is eligible for temporary protected status only if such alien establishes that he or she:

- (a) is a national of a state designated under section 244(b) of the Act;
- (b) has been continuously physically present in the United States since the effective date of the most recent designation of that foreign state;
- (c) has continuously resided in the United States since such date as the Attorney General may designate;
- (d) is admissible as an immigrant under section 244.3;
- (e) is not ineligible under 8 C.F.R. § 244.4; and
- (f)
 - (1) registers for TPS during the initial registration period, or
 - (2) registers for TPS during any subsequent extension of such designation, if the applicant meets the above listed requirements and:
 - (i) the applicant is a nonimmigrant or has been granted voluntary departure status or any relief from removal;
 - (ii) the applicant has an application for change of status, adjustment of status, asylum, voluntary departure, or any relief from removal which is pending or subject to further review or appeal;
 - (iii) the applicant is a parolee or has a pending request for reparole; or

(iv) the applicant is a spouse or child of an alien currently eligible to be a TPS registrant.

The phrase continuously physically present, as defined in 8 C.F.R. § 244.1, means actual physical presence in the United States for the entire period specified in the regulations. An alien shall not be considered to have failed to maintain continuous physical presence in the United States by virtue of brief, casual, and innocent absences as defined within this section.

The phrase continuously resided, as defined in 8 C.F.R. § 244.1, means residing in the United States for the entire period specified in the regulations. An alien shall not be considered to have failed to maintain continuous residence in the United States by reason of a brief, casual and innocent absence as defined within this section or due merely to a brief temporary trip abroad required by emergency or extenuating circumstances outside the control of the alien.

The phrase brief, casual, and innocent absence, as defined in 8 C.F.R. § 244.1, means a departure from the United States that satisfies the following criteria:

- (1) Each such absence was of short duration and reasonably calculated to accomplish the purpose(s) for the absence;
- (2) The absence was not the result of an order of deportation, an order of voluntary departure, or an administrative grant of voluntary departure without the institution of deportation proceedings; and
- (3) The purposes for the absence from the United States or actions while outside of the United States were not contrary to law.

Persons applying for TPS offered to El Salvadorans must demonstrate continuous residence in the United States since February 13, 2001, and continuous physical presence in the United States since March 9, 2001. On July 9, 2002, the Attorney General announced an extension of the TPS designation until September 9, 2003. A subsequent extension of the TPS designation has been granted by the Secretary of the Department of Homeland Security, with validity until March 9, 2005, upon the applicant's re-registration during the requisite time period.

The burden of proof is upon the applicant to establish that he or she meets the above requirements. Applicants shall submit all documentation as required in the instructions or requested by Citizenship and Immigration Services (CIS). 8 C.F.R. § 244.9(a). The sufficiency of all evidence will be judged according to its relevancy, consistency, credibility, and probative value. To meet his or her burden of proof the applicant must provide supporting documentary evidence of eligibility apart from his or her own statements. 8 C.F.R. § 244.9(b).

Initially, the applicant submitted a copy of his cedula, and four pay stubs for June, July, and August of 2000, and January of 2001 in the name of [REDACTED] with an address of [REDACTED]

On January 30, 2003, the applicant was requested to submit evidence establishing his residence since March 9, 2001, in the United States. The applicant was also requested to submit a photo identity document and a photocopy of his birth certificate.

The applicant, in response, submitted a copy of his birth certificate with English translation, an affidavit from [REDACTED] who stated that she has known the applicant from October of 2000 to the present, and an affidavit from [REDACTED] who stated that she has known the applicant from September of 2000 to the present.

The director determined that the applicant had failed to submit sufficient evidence to establish his eligibility for TPS and denied the application on March 30, 2003.

On appeal, the applicant states that for some reason he is registered [REDACTED] under the payroll system of [REDACTED] and that is the main reason why his name could not be found in company records. The applicant submits the following documentation:

1. A pay stub from [REDACTED] for April of 2001 under the name of [REDACTED] with an address of [REDACTED]
2. A letter from [REDACTED] dated January 6, 2001, denying the applicant credit;
3. A letter from [REDACTED] dated July 19, 2001, denying the applicant credit;
4. An untranslated letter from [REDACTED] dated November 11, 2001.

The photocopied pay stubs appear to have been altered as the original employee's name has obviously been covered-over and the applicant's name has been inserted in its place. Doubt cast on any aspect of the applicant's proof may lead to a reevaluation of the reliability and sufficiency of the remaining evidence offered in support of the application. It is incumbent upon the applicant to resolve any inconsistencies in the record by independent objective evidence, and attempts to explain or reconcile such inconsistencies, absent competent objective evidence pointing to where the truth, in fact, lies, will not suffice. *Matter of Ho*, 19 I&N Dec. 582 (BIA 1988). As the applicant has failed to provide any objective evidence explaining the alteration of the pay stubs, the credibility of the remaining documentation is suspect.

The affidavits from [REDACTED] regarding the applicant's claimed presence in the United States before December 30, 1998 are not supported by any corroborative evidence. It is reasonable to expect that the applicant would have some type of contemporaneous evidence to support these assertions; however, no such evidence has been provided. Affidavits from acquaintances are not, by themselves, persuasive evidence of residence or presence.

The applicant has not submitted sufficient evidence to establish his physical presence in the United States prior to February 13, 2001. He has, therefore, failed to establish that he has met the criteria described in 8 C.F.R. § 244.2(b). Consequently, the director's decision to deny the application for TPS will be affirmed.

Beyond the decision of the director, the applicant has not provided sufficient evidence to establish his continuous residence in the United States for the requisite period. For this additional reason, the appeal shall be dismissed.



An alien applying for TPS has the burden of proving that he or she meets the requirements enumerated above and is otherwise eligible under the provisions of section 244 of the Act. The applicant has failed to meet this burden.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.