

MI

U.S. Department of Homeland Security  
20 Mass, Rm. A3042, 425 I Street, N.W.  
Washington, DC 20536



U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services



**PUBLIC COPY**

FILE: [Redacted]

Office: VERMONT SERVICE CENTER

Date: **MAR 01 2004**

IN RE: Applicant: [Redacted]

APPLICATION: Application for Temporary Protected Status under Section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1254

**Identifying data deleted to prevent clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy**

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT: Self-represented

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

*Cindy N. Gomez for*

Robert P. Wiemann, Director  
Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The application was denied by the Director, Vermont Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be rejected.

The applicant is a native and citizen of Honduras who indicated on his application that he entered the United States on August 9, 1998, without a lawful admission or parole. The director denied the application for Temporary Protected Status (TPS) under section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1254, because the applicant failed to establish he was eligible for late registration.

8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(2)(v)(B) states:

*Untimely appeal--(1) Rejection without refund of filing fee.* An appeal which is not filed within the time allowed must be rejected as improperly filed. In such a case, any filing fee the Service has accepted will not be refunded.

*Untimely appeal--(2) Untimely appeal treated as motion.* If an untimely appeal meets the requirements of a motion to reopen as described in section 103.5(a)(2) of this part or a motion to reconsider as described in section 103.5(a)(3) of this part, the appeal must be treated as a motion, and a decision must be made on the merits of the case.

The applicant, on appeal, claimed that he qualified for late registration because "when [he] enter[ed] the USA [he] was inspected and parole[d] into the United States by the INS Service." However, the applicant's assertion is not "supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence" as is required of motions to reopen. 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(2). Thus, the applicant has not met the requirements of a motion to reopen.

Whenever a person has the right or is required to do some act within a prescribed period after the service of a notice upon him and the notice is served by mail, three days shall be added to the prescribed period. Service by mail is complete upon mailing. 8 C.F.R. § 103.5a(b).

The decision, dated December 16, 2002, clearly advised the applicant that any appeal must be filed within thirty days. Coupled with three days for mailing, the appeal, in this case, should have been filed on or before January 20, 2002. The appeal was dated by the applicant on January 24, 2003, and received by the Immigration and Naturalization Service, now Citizenship and Immigration Services (CIS), on January 28, 2003.

It also is noted that the record, as constituted, contains no evidence corroborating the applicant's assertions that he was paroled into the United States in 1998 and is awaiting a hearing before an Immigration Judge.

Based upon the applicant's failure to file a timely appeal, the appeal will be rejected.

**ORDER:** The appeal is rejected.