



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

MI

[REDACTED]

FILE:

[REDACTED]

Office: VERMONT SERVICE CENTER

Date: OCT 22 2004

IN RE:

Applicant:

[REDACTED]
aka [REDACTED]

APPLICATION:


Application for Temporary Protected Status under Section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1254

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

Self-represented

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

for

Robert H. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

PUBLIC COPY

**identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy**

DISCUSSION: The application was denied by the Director, Vermont Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The applicant is a native and citizen of El Salvador who is seeking Temporary Protected Status (TPS) under section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. §1254.

The director determined that the applicant failed to establish he had: 1) continuously resided in the United States since February 13, 2001; and 2) been continuously physically present in the United States since March 9, 2001. The director, therefore, denied the application.

On appeal, the applicant asserts that he has evidence that he was in the United States before and after February 2001. The applicant also submits additional evidence in an attempt to establish his continuous residence and continuous physical presence during the qualifying period.

Section 244(c) of the Act, and the related regulations in 8 C.F.R. § 244.2, provide that an applicant who is a national of a foreign state as designated by the Attorney General is eligible for temporary protected status only if such alien establishes that he or she:

- (a) Is a national, as defined in section 101(a)(21) of the Act, of a foreign state designated under section 244(b) of the Act;
- (b) Has been continuously physically present in the United States since the effective date of the most recent designation of that foreign state;
- (c) Has continuously resided in the United States since such date as the Attorney General may designate;
- (d) Is admissible as an immigrant except as provided under section 244.3;
- (e) Is not ineligible under 8 C.F.R. § 244.4; and
- (f)
 - (1) Registers for TPS during the initial registration period, announced by public notice in the *Federal Register*, or
 - (2) During any subsequent extension of such designation, if at the time of the initial registration period:
 - (i) The applicant is a nonimmigrant or has been granted voluntary departure status or any relief from removal;
 - (ii) The applicant has an application for change of status, adjustment of status, asylum, voluntary departure, or any relief from removal which is pending or subject to further review or appeal;
 - (iii) The applicant is a parolee or has a pending request for reparole; or

(iv) The applicant is a spouse or child of an alien currently eligible to be a TPS registrant.

The term *continuously physically present*, as used in 8 C.F.R. § 244.1, means actual physical presence in the United States for the entire period specified in the regulations. An alien shall not be considered to have failed to maintain continuous physical presence in the United States by virtue of brief, casual, and innocent absences as defined within this section.

The term *continuously resided*, as used in 8 C.F.R. § 244.1, means residing in the United States for the entire period specified in the regulations. An alien shall not be considered to have failed to maintain continuous residence in the United States by reason of a brief, casual, and innocent absence as defined within this section or due merely to a brief temporary trip abroad required by emergency or extenuating circumstances outside the control of the alien.

Persons applying for TPS offered to El Salvadorans must demonstrate entry on or prior to February 13, 2001, that they have continuously resided in the United States since February 13, 2001, and that they have been continuously physically present in the United States since March 9, 2001. On July 9, 2002, the Attorney General announced an extension of the TPS designation until September 9, 2003. A subsequent extension of the TPS designation has been granted by the Secretary of the Department of Homeland Security, with validity until March 9, 2005, upon the applicant's re-registration during the requisite time period.

The burden of proof is upon the applicant to establish that he or she meets the above requirements. Applicants shall submit all documentation as required in the instructions or requested by Citizenship and Immigration Services (CIS). 8 C.F.R. § 244.9(a). The sufficiency of all evidence will be judged according to its relevancy, consistency, credibility, and probative value. To meet his or her burden of proof, the applicant must provide supporting documentary evidence of eligibility apart from his or her own statements. 8 C.F.R. § 244.9(b).

On April 14, 2003, the applicant was provided the opportunity to submit evidence establishing continuous residence in the United States since February 13, 2001, and continuous physical presence in the United States from March 9, 2001, to the filing of the application. The applicant was also requested to submit a photo identity document. The applicant, in response, provided a letter from [REDACTED] and three original receipts from Gigante Express. Mr. [REDACTED] states that he and the applicant have lived in the same complex since June 2000. Mr. [REDACTED] statement is not supported by any corroborative evidence. It is reasonable to expect that the applicant would have some type of contemporaneous evidence to support these assertions; however, no such evidence has been provided. Affidavits are not, by themselves, persuasive evidence of residence or physical presence. The Gigante Express receipts are dated February 19, 2000, March 6, 2000 and June 7, 2000. These receipts indicate the applicant was present on the dates the receipts were issued, but fail to establish the applicant's continuous residence in the United States since February 13, 2001, and continuous physical presence in the United States from March 9, 2001 to the filing of the application.

The applicant failed to submit sufficient evidence to establish his continuous residence and continuous physical presence in the United States during the qualifying period. Therefore, the director denied the application.

On appeal, the applicant states that he has been in the United States before and after February 2001. The applicant provides additional evidence in an attempt to establish qualifying residence and physical presence. The applicant submits copies of four Gigante Express receipts dated February 13, 2001, March 9, 2001, April 20,

2001, and May 9, 2001. It should be noted that the credibility of these documents is suspect since the receipts all bear reverse sequential bar code numbers, which precede the other receipts. Therefore, these receipts carry little evidentiary weight and will not serve to establish the applicant's eligibility. The applicant also submits a statement from [REDACTED] who claims that the applicant has lived at her address [REDACTED] (Gaithersburg, Maryland) since April 2000 to the present. This document offers information that conflicts with the statement from Mr. [REDACTED] who stated that he and the applicant lived in the same complex [REDACTED] (Germantown, Maryland) for two years since June 2000. Also, as with Mr. [REDACTED] statement, Ms. [REDACTED] failed to provide any contemporaneous documentation in support of her claim. Consequently, the statement is of little or no probative value.

Doubt cast on any aspect of the applicant's proof may lead to a reevaluation of the reliability and sufficiency of the remaining evidence offered in support of the application. It is incumbent upon the applicant to resolve any inconsistencies in the record by independent objective evidence, and attempts to explain or reconcile such inconsistencies, absent competent objective evidence pointing to where the truth, in fact, lies, will not suffice. *Matter of Ho*, 19 I&N Dec. 582 (BIA 1988). The applicant has failed to submit any objective evidence to explain or justify the different addresses where he claimed to have lived.

The applicant has not submitted sufficient evidence to establish that he has met the criteria for residence and physical presence described in 8 C.F.R. § 244.2(b) and (c). Consequently, the director's decision to deny the application for temporary protected status will be affirmed.

An alien applying for temporary protected status has the burden of proving that he or she meets the requirements enumerated above and is otherwise eligible under the provisions of section 244 of the Act. The applicant has failed to meet this burden.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.