

...protecting data deletion...
...prevent clearly unwarrented...
...invasion of personal privacy...

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
20 Mass, Rm. A3042, 425 I Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20529



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

[Redacted]

MM

FILE: [Redacted]

Office: Texas Service Center

Date: SEP 13 2004

IN RE: Applicant: [Redacted]

APPLICATION: Application for Temporary Protected Status under Section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1254

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT: Self-represented

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.


for Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application was denied by the Director, Texas Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be rejected.

The applicant is a native and citizen of El Salvador who is seeking Temporary Protected Status (TPS) under section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1254. The director denied the application after determining that the applicant had abandoned his application by failing to respond to a request for evidence.

If all requested initial evidence and requested additional evidence is not submitted by the required date, the application or petition shall be considered abandoned and, accordingly, shall be denied. 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(b)(13). A denial due to abandonment may not be appealed, but an applicant or petitioner may file a motion to reopen. 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(b)(15).

The record reveals that the applicant filed his application on September 4, 2002. On January 23, 2003, the applicant was requested to submit photo identification or a national identity document from his country of origin bearing a photograph. The record does not contain a response from the applicant; therefore, the director concluded that the applicant had abandoned his application and issued a Notice of Denial on October 17, 2003.

The director erroneously advised the applicant that he could file an appeal from this decision within 30 days. As the director's decision was based on abandonment, the AAO has no jurisdiction over this case. The director's error does not, and can not, supersede the regulations. Therefore, the appeal must be rejected.

However, in the director's discretion, he may reopen the decision on a Service motion pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(5), or excuse the late filing of a new motion under the requirements of 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i).

It is also noted that the applicant had submitted a Form I-601, Application for Waiver of Excludability, on September 4, 2002, based on his claimed deportation proceedings. This application remains pending the decision of the director.

ORDER: The appeal is rejected.