

identifying data collected to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy

identifying data collected to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
20 Mass. Ave., N.W., Rm. A3042
Washington, DC 20529



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

MA

PUBLIC COPY



FILE: [REDACTED]
[LIN 03 260 51746]

Office: NEBRASKA SERVICE CENTER

Date: AUG 01 2005
AUG 01 2006

IN RE: Applicant: [REDACTED]

APPLICATION: Application for Temporary Protected Status under Section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1254

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT: Self-represented

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application was denied by the Director, Nebraska Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The applicant is a native and citizen of El Salvador who is seeking Temporary Protected Status (TPS) under section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. §1254.

The director determined that the applicant failed to establish she: 1) had continuously resided in the United States since February 13, 2001; 2) had been continuously physically present in the United States since March 9, 2001; and 3) was eligible for late registration. The director, therefore, denied the application.

On appeal, the applicant provides additional evidence in an attempt to establish continuous residence and continuous physical presence in the United States during the qualifying period.

Section 244(c) of the Act, and the related regulations in 8 C.F.R. § 244.2, provide that an applicant who is a national of a foreign state as designated by the Attorney General is eligible for temporary protected status only if such alien establishes that he or she:

- (a) Is a national, as defined in section 101(a)(21) of the Act, of a foreign state designated under section 244(b) of the Act;
- (b) Has been continuously physically present in the United States since the effective date of the most recent designation of that foreign state;
- (c) Has continuously resided in the United States since such date as the Attorney General may designate;
- (d) Is admissible as an immigrant except as provided under section 244.3;
- (e) Is not ineligible under 8 C.F.R. § 244.4; and
- (f)
 - (1) Registers for TPS during the initial registration period announced by public notice in the *Federal Register*, or
 - (2) During any subsequent extension of such designation if at the time of the initial registration period:
 - (i) The applicant is a nonimmigrant or has been granted voluntary departure status or any relief from removal;
 - (ii) The applicant has an application for change of status, adjustment of status, asylum, voluntary departure, or any relief from removal which is pending or subject to further review or appeal;
 - (iii) The applicant is a parolee or has a pending request for reparole; or

- (iv) The applicant is a spouse or child of an alien currently eligible to be a TPS registrant.
- (g) Has filed an application for late registration with the appropriate Service director within a 60-day period immediately following the expiration or termination of conditions described in paragraph (f)(2) of this section.

Continuously physically present, as defined in 8 C.F.R. §244.1, means actual physical presence in the United States for the entire period specified in the regulations. An alien shall not be considered to have failed to maintain continuous physical presence in the United States by virtue of brief, casual, and innocent absences as defined within this section.

Continuously resided, as defined in 8 C.F.R. §244.1, means residing in the United States for the entire period specified in the regulations. An alien shall not be considered to have failed to maintain continuous residence in the United States by reason of a brief, casual, and innocent absence as defined within this section or due merely to a brief temporary trip abroad required by emergency or extenuating circumstances outside the control of the alien.

Persons applying for TPS offered to El Salvadorans must demonstrate entry on or prior to February 13, 2001, that they have continuously resided in the United States since February 13, 2001, and that they have been continuously physically present in the United States since March 9, 2001. On July 9, 2002, the Attorney General announced an extension of the TPS designation until September 9, 2003. Subsequent extensions of the TPS designation have been granted by the Secretary of the Department of Homeland Security, with the latest extension granted until September 9, 2006, upon the applicant's re-registration during the requisite time period.

The initial registration period for El Salvadorans was from March 9, 2001 through September 9, 2002. The record shows that the applicant filed this application on September 2, 2003.

The burden of proof is upon the applicant to establish that he or she meets the above requirements. Applicants shall submit all documentation as required in the instructions or requested by Citizenship and Immigration Services (CIS). 8 C.F.R. § 244.9(a). The sufficiency of all evidence will be judged according to its relevancy, consistency, credibility, and probative value. To meet his or her burden of proof, the applicant must provide supporting documentary evidence of eligibility apart from his or her own statements. 8 C.F.R. § 244.9(b).

The first issue in this proceeding is whether the applicant is eligible for late registration.

The record of proceeding confirms that the applicant filed her application after the initial registration period had closed. To qualify for late registration, the applicant must provide evidence that during the initial registration period from March 9, 2001 through September 9, 2002, she fell within the provisions described in 8 C.F.R. § 244.2(f)(2) (listed above). If the qualifying condition or application has expired or been terminated, the individual must file within a 60-day period immediately following the expiration or termination of the qualifying condition in order to be considered for the late initial registration. 8 C.F.R. § 244.2(g).

On October 1, 2003, the applicant was provided the opportunity to submit evidence establishing her eligibility for late registration as set forth in 8 C.F.R. § 244.2(f)(2). The applicant was also requested to submit evidence establishing her date of entry and continuous residence in the United States since February 13, 2001, and her continuous physical presence in the United States from March 9, 2001, to the filing date of the application. The

applicant, in response, provided evidence in an attempt to establish continuous residence and continuous physical presence in the United States during the qualifying period.

The director determined that the applicant failed to submit any evidence of her eligibility for late registration. Therefore, the director denied the application.

On appeal, the applicant submits evidence in an attempt to establish her continuous residence and continuous physical presence in the United States during the qualifying period. However, this does not mitigate the applicant's failure to file her TPS application within the initial registration period. The applicant has not submitted any evidence to establish that she has met any of the criteria for late registration described in 8 C.F.R. § 244.2(f)(2). Consequently, the director's conclusion that the applicant failed to establish her eligibility for late registration will be affirmed.

The second and third issues in this proceeding are whether the applicant has established her continuous residence in the United States since February 13, 2001, and her continuous physical presence in the United States since March 9, 2001.

As stated above, the applicant was requested on October 1, 2003 to submit evidence establishing her qualifying continuous residence and continuous physical presence in the United States. The applicant, in response, provided:

1. A copy of a Request for Verification of Employment from Village Green Companies dated July 28, 2000.
2. Copies of Money Gram receipts dated December 1, 2000, July 15, 2000, February 25, 2001, and December 15, 2001.
3. A copy of Clinic Discharge Instructions dated September 21, 2001.
4. Copies of appointment reminder notices dated July 23, 2001 and September 11, 2001.
5. A copy of a hand-written generic receipt for furniture delivery dated August 3, 2000.
6. Copies of earnings statement from Lodging Services, Inc. St Paul, MN dated December 20, 2002 and March 14, 2003.
7. A copy of a 2000 Internal Revenue Service (IRS) Form W-2, Wage and Tax Statement.

The Request for Verification of Employment appears to have been photocopied with information regarding the applicant added on, and, it is unsigned by anyone from the company allegedly requesting the information. The Form W-2 also appears to have been altered and it lacks pertinent information on its face. Doubt cast on any aspect of the applicant's proof may lead to a reevaluation of the reliability and sufficiency of the remaining evidence offered in support of the application. It is incumbent upon the applicant to resolve any inconsistencies in the record by independent objective evidence, and attempts to explain or reconcile such inconsistencies, absent competent objective evidence pointing to where the truth, in fact, lies, will not suffice. *Matter of Ho*, 19 I&N

Dec. 582 (BIA 1988).

The copies of money order receipts provided by the applicant are not supported by any other corroborative evidence. While 8 C.F.R. § 244.9(a)(2)(vi) specifically states that additional documents such as money order receipts “may” be accepted in support of the applicant’s claim, the regulations do not suggest that such evidence alone is necessarily sufficient to establish the applicant’s qualifying residence or physical presence in the United States. The applicant claims to have lived in the United States since May 2000. It is reasonable to expect that the applicant would have some other type of contemporaneous evidence to support these receipts; however, no such evidence has been provided. Similarly, the hand-written receipt for furniture delivery is not supported by any corroborative evidence.

Of the remaining submitted evidence, one of the appointment reminders indicates a date of July 23, 2001, and is the earliest date presented, on appeal, as evidence of the applicant’s presence in the United States. This is subsequent to the dates required to establish entry, continuous residence and continuous physical presence during the qualifying period. Therefore, this evidence alone is of little or no probative value. The director concluded that the applicant had failed to establish his qualifying residence and physical presence in the United States during the requisite periods and denied the application.

On appeal, the applicant submits a bill from Dish Network dated August 11, 2003. The applicant also resubmits evidence previously provided. The bill from the Dish Network is dated subsequent to the dates required to establish entry, continuous residence and continuous physical presence during the qualifying period. Consequently, this document alone cannot establish the applicant’s continuous residence since February 13, 2001 and her continuous physical presence from March 9, 2001 to the filing of her TPS application. This document is, therefore, of little or no probative value.

The applicant has not submitted sufficient evidence to establish her qualifying residence since February 13, 2001, and her continuous physical presence in the United States since March 9, 2001. She has, therefore, failed to establish that she has met the criteria described in 8 C.F.R. § 244.2(b) and (c). Consequently, the director’s decision to deny the application for temporary protected status on these grounds will also be affirmed.

An alien applying for temporary protected status has the burden of proving that he or she meets the requirements enumerated above and is otherwise eligible under the provisions of section 244 of the Act. The applicant has failed to meet this burden.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.