

Identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
20 Mass. Ave., N.W., Rm. A3042
Washington, DC 20529



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

PUBLIC COPY

MI

FILE:

[REDACTED]
[EAC 03 021 51364]

Office: Vermont Service Center

Date: AUG 29 2005

IN RE:

Applicant: [REDACTED]

APPLICATION:

Application for Temporary Protected Status under Section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1254

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

Self-represented

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application was denied by the Director, Vermont Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The case will be remanded.

The applicant is a native and citizen of El Salvador who is seeking Temporary Protected Status (TPS) under section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1254.

The record reveals that the applicant filed his application on September 9, 2002. On September 2, 2003, the applicant was requested to submit evidence establishing his qualifying continuous residence and continuous physical presence in the United States. The record does not contain a response from the applicant; therefore, the director denied the application on January 21, 2004.

Under 8 C.F.R. § 103.3, "the officer shall explain in writing the specific reasons for denial." The director stated in her denial that the applicant had failed to respond to a request for evidence, and that the grounds for denial had not been overcome. However, while the director's decision states: "your application is denied", the specific reason for the denial is not indicated. It is noted that a previous TPS application was denied on June 17, 2003, for failure to respond to a request of additional evidence.

The case is remanded for the issuance of a new decision that sets forth the specific reasons for the denial.

As always in these proceedings, the burden of proof rests solely with the applicant. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361.

ORDER: The case is remanded to the director for entry of a new decision.