

Identifying information deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
20 Mass. Ave., N.W., Rm. A3042
Washington, DC 20529



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

PUBLIC COPY

MM1



FILE:



Office: VERMONT SERVICE CENTER

Date:

AUG 29 2005

[EAC 01 206 55295]

IN RE:

Applicant:



APPLICATION: Application for Temporary Protected Status under Section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1254

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT: Self-represented

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application was denied by the Director, Vermont Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The case will be remanded.

The applicant is a native and citizen of El Salvador who is seeking Temporary Protected Status (TPS) under section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1254.

The record reveals that the applicant filed her application on June 6, 2001. On May 27, 2003, the applicant was requested to submit evidence establishing her qualifying continuous residence in the United States as of February 13, 2001, and continuous physical presence in the United States since March 9, 2001. The applicant was also requested to submit the final court dispositions for all of her past arrests. The record does not contain a response from the applicant; therefore, the director denied the application on July 31, 2003.

While the director's decision states: "your application is denied", the specific reason for the denial is not indicated. Under 8 C.F.R. § 103.3, "the officer shall explain in writing the specific reasons for denial."

Therefore, the case is remanded for the issuance of a new decision that sets forth the specific reasons for the denial.

It is also noted that the record does not indicate any past arrests other than an apprehension by the United States Border Patrol at or near McAllen, Texas, on October 8, 1998, under the alias of [REDACTED]

As always in these proceedings, the burden of proof rests solely with the applicant. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361.

ORDER: The case is remanded to the director for entry of a new decision.