

**identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy**

U.S. Department of Homeland Security  
20 Mass. Ave., N.W., Rm. A3042  
Washington, DC 20529



U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

**PUBLIC COPY**

MI



FILE: [REDACTED]  
[EAC 02 257 51283]

Office: VERMONT SERVICE CENTER

Date: FEB 02 2005

IN RE: Applicant: [REDACTED]

APPLICATION: Application for Temporary Protected Status under Section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1254

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT: Self-represented

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

*for*   
Robert P. Wiemann, Director  
Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The application was denied by the Director, Vermont Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The applicant is a native and citizen of El Salvador who is seeking Temporary Protected Status (TPS) under section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. §1254.

The director determined that the applicant failed to establish he had: 1) continuously resided in the United States since February 13, 2001; and 2) been continuously physically present in the United States since March 9, 2001. The director, therefore, denied the application.

On appeal, the applicant asserts that he never received any letter requesting additional information. The applicant also submits additional evidence in an attempt to establish continuous residence and continuous physical presence in the United States during the qualifying period.

Section 244(c) of the Act, and the related regulations in 8 C.F.R. § 244.2, provide that an applicant who is a national of a foreign state as designated by the Attorney General is eligible for temporary protected status only if such alien establishes that he or she:

- (a) Is a national, as defined in section 101(a)(21) of the Act, of a foreign state designated under section 244(b) of the Act;
- (b) Has been continuously physically present in the United States since the effective date of the most recent designation of that foreign state;
- (c) Has continuously resided in the United States since such date as the Attorney General may designate;
- (d) Is admissible as an immigrant except as provided under section 244.3;
- (e) Is not ineligible under 8 C.F.R. § 244.4; and
- (f)
  - (1) Registers for TPS during the initial registration period, announced by public notice in the *Federal Register*, or
  - (2) During any subsequent extension of such designation, if at the time of the initial registration period:
    - (i) The applicant is a nonimmigrant or has been granted voluntary departure status or any relief from removal;
    - (ii) The applicant has an application for change of status, adjustment of status, asylum, voluntary departure, or any relief from removal which is pending or subject to further review or appeal;
    - (iii) The applicant is a parolee or has a pending request for reparole; or

- (iv) The applicant is a spouse or child of an alien currently eligible to be a TPS registrant.

The term *continuously physically present*, as used in 8 C.F.R. § 244.1, means actual physical presence in the United States for the entire period specified in the regulations. An alien shall not be considered to have failed to maintain continuous physical presence in the United States by virtue of brief, casual, and innocent absences as defined within this section.

The term *continuously resided*, as used in 8 C.F.R. § 244.1, means residing in the United States for the entire period specified in the regulations. An alien shall not be considered to have failed to maintain continuous residence in the United States by reason of a brief, casual, and innocent absence as defined within this section or due merely to a brief temporary trip abroad required by emergency or extenuating circumstances outside the control of the alien.

Persons applying for TPS offered to El Salvadorans must demonstrate entry on or prior to February 13, 2001, that they have continuously resided in the United States since February 13, 2001, and that they have been continuously physically present in the United States since March 9, 2001. On July 9, 2002, the Attorney General announced an extension of the TPS designation until September 9, 2003. A subsequent extension of the TPS designation has been granted by the Secretary of the Department of Homeland Security, with validity until March 9, 2005, upon the applicant's re-registration during the requisite time period.

The burden of proof is upon the applicant to establish that he or she meets the above requirements. Applicants shall submit all documentation as required in the instructions or requested by Citizenship and Immigration Services (CIS). 8 C.F.R. § 244.9(a). The sufficiency of all evidence will be judged according to its relevancy, consistency, credibility, and probative value. To meet his or her burden of proof, the applicant must provide supporting documentary evidence of eligibility apart from his or her own statements. 8 C.F.R. § 244.9(b).

The record shows that the applicant filed his TPS application on August 3, 2002. On March 26, 2003, the applicant was provided the opportunity to submit evidence establishing continuous residence in the United States since February 13, 2001, and continuous physical presence in the United States from March 9, 2001, to the filing of the application. The applicant was also requested to submit evidence that he had re-registered for temporary protected status during the period September 9, 2002 and November 12, 2002. The applicant failed to respond to the notice. The director, therefore, denied the application.

On appeal, the applicant states that he never received a notice requesting additional evidence. The applicant also provides additional evidence in an attempt to establish continuous residence in the United States since February 13, 2001, and continuous physical presence in the United States from March 9, 2001, to the filing of the application. The applicant also resubmits evidence previously provided. According to the applicant, this is the only evidence he has.

The applicant furnishes letters from [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] as well as copies of hand-written rent receipts. In his statement, Mr. [REDACTED] Manager of Gigante Express, Inc. in Westbury, New York, claims that the applicant used his company's services on a monthly basis since December 10, 2000. However, Mr. [REDACTED] provides no documentation supporting this claim. Further, even accepting his statement as true, he can only attest to the applicant's presence in the United States on the dates the applicant actually used his company's services. Mr. [REDACTED] has not demonstrated that his knowledge of the applicant's presence in the United States is independent of his relationship with the applicant. If this knowledge is based of what the applicant told him about

his continuous residence and continuous physical presence in the United States, then his statement is essentially an extension of the applicant's personal testimony rather than independent corroboration of that testimony.

claims that the applicant was his tenant from September 2000 to June 2003. The applicant also provides copies of hand-written rent receipts. However, the hand-written rent receipts are not supported by any corroborative evidence. The credibility of these documents is suspect since some receipts bear sequential receipt numbers, which precedes the other receipts, and some receipts have the same receipt numbers with different dates. Therefore, these receipts carry little evidentiary weight and will not serve to establish the applicant's eligibility. Furthermore, as with the statement from Mr. the statement from is not supported by any corroborative evidence. It is reasonable to expect that the applicant would have some type of contemporaneous evidence to support these assertions; however, no such evidence has been provided. Affidavits are not, by themselves, persuasive evidence of residence or physical presence.

The applicant has failed to establish that he has met the criteria for residence and physical presence described in 8 C.F.R. § 244.2(b) and (c). Consequently, the director's decision to deny the application for temporary protected status will be affirmed.

An alien applying for temporary protected status has the burden of proving that he or she meets the requirements enumerated above and is otherwise eligible under the provisions of section 244 of the Act. The applicant has failed to meet this burden.

**ORDER:** The appeal is dismissed.