



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

**Identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy**

PUBLIC COPY



MI

FILE: [REDACTED] Office: CALIFORNIA SERVICE CENTER Date: **JAN 21 2005**

IN RE: Applicant: [REDACTED]
aka [REDACTED]

APPLICATION: Application for Temporary Protected Status under Section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1254

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT: Self-represented

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Robert P. Wiemann".

Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application was denied by the Director, California Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The case will be remanded for further action.

The applicant is a native and citizen of El Salvador who is seeking Temporary Protected Status (TPS) under section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1254.

The director denied the application after determining that the applicant had abandoned his application by failing to respond to his request to submit the final court disposition of his arrest on February 23, 2001, for robbery and for furnishing inmate with escape equipment.

If all requested initial evidence and requested additional evidence is not submitted by the required date, the application or petition shall be considered abandoned and, accordingly, shall be denied. 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(b)(13). A denial due to abandonment may not be appealed, but an applicant or petitioner may file a motion to reopen. 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(b)(15).

The record reveals that the applicant filed his application on April 10, 2001. On March 26, 2003, the applicant was requested to submit the final court dispositions of the arrests listed in the request for evidence and in the Federal Bureau of Investigation fingerprint results report. The record does not contain a response from the applicant; therefore, the director concluded that the applicant had abandoned his application and denied the application on January 12, 2004.

The director erroneously advised the applicant that he could file an appeal from this decision within 30 days. As the director's decision was based on abandonment, the AAO has no jurisdiction over this case. The director's error does not, and can not, supersede the regulations. Therefore, the case will be remanded and the director shall consider the applicant's response as a motion to reopen.

As always in these proceedings, the burden of proof rests solely with the applicant. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361.

ORDER: The case is remanded to the director for further action consistent with the above and entry of a decision.