

identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy


U.S. Department of Homeland Security
20 Mass. Rm. A3042, 425 I Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20529

PUBLIC COPY



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

M



FILE:  Office: TEXAS SERVICE CENTER Date: **JAN 21 2005**

IN RE: Applicant: 

APPLICATION: Application for Temporary Protected Status under Section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1254

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT: SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann
Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application was denied by the Director, Texas Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The applicant is a native and citizen of El Salvador who is seeking Temporary Protected Status (TPS) under section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1254.

The director denied the application because the applicant failed to establish that she was eligible for late registration.

On appeal, the applicant claims she was not filing for late registration; rather, she was re-registering for an extension of TPS.

As stated in 8 C.F.R. § 244.1, "register" means "to properly file, with the director, a completed application, with proper fee, for [TPS] during the registration period designated under section 244(b) of the Act."

The record reveals that the applicant filed a first Form I-821, Application for Temporary Protected Status, on March 27, 2001, during the initial registration period. On March 30, 2002, the director requested the applicant to submit evidence in support of that application. The applicant failed to respond to the director's request.

If all requested initial evidence and requested additional evidence is not submitted by the required date, the application or petition shall be considered abandoned and, accordingly, shall be denied. 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(b)(13). A denial due to abandonment may not be appealed, but an applicant or petitioner may file a motion to reopen. 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(b)(15).

The director denied the applicant's first TPS application on August 29, 2002, for failure to respond to a request for evidence to establish her eligibility for TPS. Since the application was denied due to abandonment there was no appeal available; however, the applicant could have filed a request for a motion to reopen within 30 days from the date of the director's denial. The applicant did not file a motion to reopen during the requisite timeframe.

The applicant filed the instant, second Form I-821 on October 30, 2002.¹ The director denied this second application because it was filed outside of the initial registration period and because the applicant had failed to establish her eligibility for filing under the provisions of late registration. While the director found the applicant ineligible for TPS because she had failed to establish eligibility for late registration, the director's decision did not fully explain the entire basis for denial.

Any Form I-821 application subsequently submitted by the same applicant after an initial application is filed and a decision on that application is rendered, must be considered as either a request for annual re-registration or as a new filing for TPS benefits. If an applicant is filing an application for annual re-registration, a previous grant of TPS must have been afforded the applicant, as only those individuals who are

¹ It is noted that the applicant failed to sign the second Form I-821 application in accordance with the provisions of 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(a)(2). Therefore, the application was accepted in error, and should have been rejected by the director in accordance with the provisions of 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(a)(7).

granted TPS must re-register annually. In addition, the applicant must continue to maintain the conditions of TPS eligibility. 8 C.F.R. § 244.17.

Since the applicant's first Form I-821 application was denied on August 29, 2002, her second application cannot be considered as an application for annual re-registration. The instant, second Form I-821 application can only be considered as a new filing for TPS benefits under the provisions of late registration, since the application was filed outside of the initial registration period.

Section 244(c) of the Act, and the related regulations in 8 C.F.R. § 244.2, provide that an applicant who is a national of a foreign state designated by the Attorney General is eligible for temporary protected status only if such alien establishes that he or she:

- (a) Is a national, as defined in section 101(a)(21) of the Act, of a foreign state designated under section 244(b) of the Act;
- (b) Has been continuously physically present in the United States since the effective date of the most recent designation of that foreign state;
- (c) Has continuously resided in the United States since such date as the Attorney General may designate;
- (d) Is admissible as an immigrant except as provided under section 244.3;
- (e) Is not ineligible under 8 C.F.R. § 244.4; and
- (f)
 - (1) Registers for TPS during the initial registration period announced by public notice in the *Federal Register*, or
 - (2) During any subsequent extension of such designation if at the time of the initial registration period:
 - (i) The applicant is a nonimmigrant or has been granted voluntary departure status or any relief from removal;
 - (ii) The applicant has an application for change of status, adjustment of status, asylum, voluntary departure, or any relief from removal which is pending or subject to further review or appeal;
 - (iii) The applicant is a parolee or has a pending request for reparole; or
 - (iv) The applicant is a spouse or child of an alien currently eligible to be a TPS registrant.

- (g) Has filed an application for late registration with the appropriate Service director within a 60-day period immediately following the expiration or termination of conditions described in paragraph (f)(2) of this section.

To qualify for late registration, the applicant must provide evidence that during the initial registration period, he or she was either in a valid immigration status, had an application pending for relief from removal, was a parolee, or was the spouse or child of an alien currently eligible to be a TPS registrant, and had filed an application for late registration within 60 days of the expiration or termination of the conditions described in 8 C.F.R. § 244.2(f)(2).

The burden of proof is upon the applicant to establish that he or she meets the above requirements. Applicants shall submit all documentation as required in the instructions or requested by CIS. 8 C.F.R. § 244.9(a). The sufficiency of all evidence will be judged according to its relevancy, consistency, credibility, and probative value. To meet his or her burden of proof the applicant must provide supporting documentary evidence of eligibility apart from his or her own statements. 8 C.F.R. § 244.9(b).

On January 24, 2003, the applicant was requested to submit evidence establishing her eligibility for late registration as set forth in 8 C.F.R. § 244.2(f)(2). The applicant was also requested to submit evidence establishing her continuous residence and continuous physical presence in the United States from February 13, 2001, to the date of filing. The applicant, in response, provided documentation relating to her identity and her residence and physical presence in the United States.

It is noted that the applicant has consistently asserted that she last entered the United States on November 30, 1999. However, she has provided differing information concerning her manner and place of entry. On her first Form I-821, the applicant indicated that she had entered the United States without inspection at Eagle Pass, Texas; whereas, on her second Form I-821, she indicated that she had entered the United States without inspection at Laredo, Texas. Furthermore, on a Form I-765, Application for Employment Authorization, filed on January 24, 2003, she indicated that she arrived in the United States as a "stowaway."

Doubt cast on any aspect of the applicant's proof may lead to a reevaluation of the reliability and sufficiency of the remaining evidence offered in support of the application. It is incumbent upon the applicant to resolve any inconsistencies in the record by independent objective evidence, and attempts to explain or reconcile such inconsistencies, absent competent objective evidence pointing to where the truth lies, will not suffice. *Matter of Ho*, 19 I&N Dec. 582 (BIA 1988).

The director determined that the applicant had failed to establish she was eligible for late registration and denied the application on August 15, 2003. On appeal, the applicant claims she was not filing for late registration; however, as discussed above, the applicant is not eligible for annual re-registration because her first Form I-821 was denied due to abandonment, and no appeal or motion was filed within the appropriate timeframe. The applicant has not submitted any evidence to establish that she has met any of the criteria for late registration described in 8 C.F.R. § 244.2(f)(2). Consequently, the director's decision to deny the application will be affirmed.

It is also noted that the applicant has also failed to provide sufficient evidence to establish her continuous residence and continuous physical presence in the United States. For these reasons as well, the application cannot be approved.

An alien applying for temporary protected status has the burden of proving that he or she meets the requirements enumerated above and is otherwise eligible under the provisions of section 244 of the Act. The applicant has failed to meet this burden.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.