

identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
20 Massachusetts Ave., N.W., Rm. A3042
Washington, DC 20529



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

PUBLIC COPY

MI



FILE: [REDACTED]
[WAC 02 276 51905]

OFFICE: CALIFORNIA SERVICE CENTER

DATE: JUN 29 2005

IN RE: Applicant: [REDACTED]

APPLICATION: Application for Temporary Protected Status under Section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1254

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT: Self-represented

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application was denied by the Director, California Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office on appeal. The appeal will be rejected.

The applicant claims to be a native and citizen of El Salvador who is seeking Temporary Protected Status (TPS) under section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1254.

The director denied the application after determining that the applicant had failed to submit sufficient evidence to establish that he had continuously resided in the United States since February 13, 2001.

"Affected Party" means the person or entity with legal standing in a proceeding. 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(iii)(B).

An appeal filed by a person or entity not entitled to file it must be rejected as improperly filed. In such a case, any filing fee which has been accepted will not be refunded. 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(2)(v).

In this case, the appeal was signed and filed by [REDACTED] a person other than the applicant, whose standing in this matter has not been demonstrated by the filing of a properly executed Notice of Entry of Appearance as Attorney or Representative (Form G-28). Further [REDACTED] neither identified herself nor indicated if she is an attorney or representative, authorized to represent the applicant. Furthermore, the appeal was not signed by the applicant. Therefore, the appeal will be rejected for this reason.

Furthermore, an appeal that is not filed within the time allowed must be rejected as improperly filed. In such a case, any filing fee accepted will not be refunded. 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(2)(v)(B)(1).

Whenever a person has the right or is required to do some act within a prescribed period after the service of a notice upon him and the notice is served by mail, three days shall be added to the prescribed period. Service by mail is complete upon mailing. 8 C.F.R. § 103.5a(b).

The director's decision of denial, dated December 18, 2003, clearly advised the applicant that any appeal must be properly filed within thirty days after service of the decision. 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(2)(i). Coupled with three days for mailing, the appeal, in this case, should have been filed on or before January 20, 2004. The appeal was received at the California Service Center on January 22, 2004.

Based upon the applicant's failure to file a timely appeal, the appeal will also be rejected for this reason.

As always in these proceedings, the burden of proof rests solely with the applicant. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361.

ORDER: The appeal is rejected.