

identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
20 Mass. Ave., N.W., Rm. A3042
Washington, DC 20529



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

PUBLIC COPY

M1

FILE:

[REDACTED]
[EAC 01 184 50901]

Office: VERMONT SERVICE CENTER

Date: MAR 08 2005

IN RE:

Applicant: [REDACTED]

APPLICATION: Application for Temporary Protected Status under Section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1254

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT: SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Robert P. Wiemann".

Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application was denied by the Director, Vermont Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The decision of the director is withdrawn, and the case is remanded.

The applicant is stated to be a native and citizen of El Salvador who is seeking Temporary Protected Status (TPS) under section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1254.

It is noted that a Form G-28, Notice of Entry of Appearance of Attorney or Representative, has been filed on the applicant's behalf by [REDACTED]. However, [REDACTED] failed to establish that she is qualified to represent the applicant under the provisions of 8 C.F.R. § 292.1. Therefore, the applicant is considered to be self-represented in this matter and the decision will be furnished only to the applicant.

Pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(i), when an officer [of Citizenship and Immigration Services (CIS), formerly, the Immigration and Naturalization Service (INS)] denies an application, the officer "shall explain in writing the specific reasons for denial."

The director denied the application on July 15, 2003. The director's decision does not clearly indicate the specific basis for the decision. Therefore, the case will be remanded for the issuance of a new decision that sets forth the specific reasons for denial of the application.

As always in these proceedings, the burden of proof rests solely with the applicant. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361.

ORDER: The case is remanded.