

identifying data deleted to
protect clearly unwarranted
privacy interests of individuals


U.S. Department of Homeland Security
20 Mass. Ave., N.W., Rm. A3042
Washington, DC 20529



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

PUBLIC COPY

MM



FILE: [REDACTED]
[EAC 03 067 54656]

Office: Vermont Service Center

Date: NOV 28 2005

IN RE: Applicant: [REDACTED]

APPLICATION: Application for Temporary Protected Status under Section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1254

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT: Self-represented

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Ceudey N. Gomez
Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application was denied by the Director, Vermont Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The case will be remanded for further consideration and action.

The applicant is a native and citizen of Honduras who is seeking Temporary Protected Status (TPS) under section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1254.

The director denied the application after determining that the applicant had abandoned her application by failing to respond to a request for evidence.

If all requested initial evidence and requested additional evidence is not submitted by the required date, the application or petition shall be considered abandoned and, accordingly, shall be denied. 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(b)(13). A denial due to abandonment may not be appealed, but an applicant or petitioner may file a motion to reopen. 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(b)(15).

The record reveals that the applicant filed her application on December 27, 2002, after the initial registration period had closed. On February 20, 2003, the applicant was requested to submit additional evidence establishing her residence in the United States as of December 30, 1998, and her continuous physical presence in the United States from January 5, 1999, to the date of filing her application. The record does not contain a response from the applicant; therefore, the director concluded that the applicant had abandoned her application and denied the application on May 20, 2003. The director advised the applicant that, while the decision could not be appealed, the applicant could file a motion to reopen.

The applicant responded to the director's decision on June 10, 2003. The applicant states that she is married to a person who is an eligible TPS registrant; and therefore, she is eligible to apply for TPS. She further states that she has been married to [REDACTED] since February 16, 1991.

The director erroneously accepted the applicant's response as an appeal instead of a motion to reopen and forwarded the file to the AAO. As the director's decision was based on abandonment, the AAO has no jurisdiction over this case. Therefore, the case will be remanded and the director shall consider the applicant's response as a motion to reopen.

It is noted that the applicant has provided insufficient evidence of her continuous physical presence and continuous residence.

As always in these proceedings, the burden of proof rests solely with the applicant. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361.

ORDER: The case is remanded to the director for further action consistent with the above and entry of a decision.