



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

**identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy**

PUBLIC COPY

M 1

FILE: [REDACTED]
[AAO 04 199 50042]

Office: DALLAS

Date: NOV 29 2005

IN RE: Applicant: [REDACTED]

APPLICATION: Application for Temporary Protected Status under Section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1254

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The Temporary Protected Status (TPS) application was denied by the District Director, Dallas, Texas, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The director denied the application because the applicant failed to establish that he is a national of a foreign state designated by the Attorney General and eligible for the granting of Temporary Protected Status (TPS) under section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1254.

Section 244(c) of the Act, and the related regulations in 8 C.F.R. § 244.2(a), provide that an applicant is eligible for TPS only if such alien establishes that he or she:

Is a national of a foreign state designated under section 244(b) of the Act;....

The applicant indicated on his application that he was a citizen of Liberia. However, the applicant entered the United States on January 4, 1999, with a B-1 Visitor Visa indicating he was Nigerian. The director concluded that the applicant had failed to establish that he was a national of a foreign state designated by the Attorney General and denied the application on June 29, 2004. The director also concluded that the Liberian passport provided by the applicant was determined to be fraudulent by the Federal Document Laboratory.

Counsel for the applicant asserts on appeal that he was eligible for TPS because he was born in Liberia and is a Liberian citizen. Counsel also claims that the accompanying Liberian Lasissez Passer repeated the information on the birth certificate, which supports the alleged fraudulent birth certificate.

The record contains a birth certificate that indicates that the applicant's mother is Liberian and his father is Nigerian. In *Chee Kin Jang v. Reno*, 113 F. 3d 1074 (9th Cir. 1997), the United States Court of Appeals found that the Service reasonably interpreted the term "PRC national" in the Chinese Student Protection Act (CSPA) to exclude Chinese dual nationals who did not declare citizenship of the People's Republic of China (PRC) when they entered the United States, and that the Service's treatment of PRC dual nationals, depending on whether they entered under a PRC passport or a passport of a different country, was reasonable. The Court states that an alien is bound by the nationality claimed or established at the time of entry for the duration of his or her stay in the United States. Thus, a dual national CSPA principal applicant must have claimed PRC nationality at the time of his or her last entry into the United States.

In *Chevron USA, Inc. v. Natural Resources Defense Counsel*, 467 U.S. 837, 842-843 & n.9 (1984), the district court held that the practice of binding an alien to his claimed nationality "promotes the congressional policy of insuring that an alien will be able to return, voluntarily or otherwise, to his or her country of origin if requested to do so and provides for consistency in the enforcement of law, especially given the large numbers of nonimmigrant foreign nationals who visit the United States each year."

Additionally, the Board of Immigration Appeals, in *Matter of Ognibene*, 18 I&N Dec. 425 (BIA 1983), concluded that although an alien may hold the phenomenon of dual nationality, an alien may only claim one citizenship at a time for purposes of immigration matters within the United States. As explained in *Ognibene*, clearly, it is not the prerogative or position of the United States to require a dual national alien nonimmigrant to elect to retain one or another of his nationalities. Equally as clear, the national sovereignty of the United States is acceptably and reasonably exercised through section 214 of the Act in holding that a dual national

alien nonimmigrant is, for the duration of his temporary stay in the United States, of the nationality which he claimed or established at the time that he entered the United States.

The Board, in *Ognibene*, further held that under appropriate circumstances in a given proceeding of law, the operative nationality of a dual national may be determined by his conduct without affording him the opportunity to elect which of his nationalities he will exercise. The General Counsel, in GENCO Op. 84-22 (July 13, 1984), reinforced this concept and states, "In interpreting a law which turns on nationality, the individual's conduct with regard to a particular nation may be examined. An individual's conduct determines his 'operative nationality.' The 'operative nationality' is determined by allowing the individual to elect which nationality to exercise. The nationality claimed or established by the nonimmigrant alien when he enters the United States must be regarded as his sole nationality for the duration of his stay in the United States." [Emphasis in original].

Additionally, the General Counsel, in GENCO Op. 92-34 (August 7, 1992), concluded that the Service may, in the exercise of discretion, deny TPS in the case of an alien who, although a national of a foreign state designated for TPS, is also a national of another foreign state that has not been designated for TPS. The General Counsel explains that "TPS is not a provision designated to create a general right to remain in the United States. Rather, the statute provides a regularized means of granting haven to aliens who, because of extraordinary and temporary circumstances, cannot return to their home country in safety. See *id.* 244A(b)(1)(A), (B), and (C), 8 U.S.C. § 1254(b)(1)(a), (b), and (c)."

In this case, the applicant entered the United States on a B-1 Visitor Visa identified as a national and citizen of Nigeria and maintained that claim throughout these immigration proceedings. The nationality the applicant claimed and/or established at the time he first came into contact with the Service (now CIS) was that of Nigerian. On subsequent filings before the Service, including an asylum application, the applicant continued to present himself as a Nigerian. In fact, the only instance in which the applicant claimed Liberian citizenship was when he applied for TPS. Therefore, his Nigerian citizenship must be regarded as his operative nationality during these proceedings.

Nigeria is not a designated foreign state under Section 244 of the Act. The applicant, therefore, does not meet the eligibility requirements of being a national of a state designated under section 244(b) of the Act. As the applicant has not demonstrated that his "operative nationality" is that of a TPS-designated country, the director's decision to deny the application will be affirmed, as a matter of discretion.

Furthermore, the record indicates that even if the applicant was born in Liberia; although other evidence in the record indicates he was born in Nigeria, he firmly resettled in another country. As defined in 8 C.F.R. § 208.15, an alien is considered to be firmly resettled if, prior to arrival in the United States, he or she entered into another country with, or while in that country received, an offer of permanent resident status, citizenship, or some other type of permanent resettlement unless he or she establishes:

- (a) That his or her entry into that country was a necessary consequence of his or her flight from persecution, that he or she remained in that country only as long as was necessary to arrange onward travel, and that he or she did not establish significant ties in that country; or

(b) That the conditions of his or her residence in that country were so substantially and consciously restricted by the authority of the country of refuge that he or she was not in fact resettled. In making his or her determination, the asylum officer or immigration judge shall consider the conditions under which other residents of the country live; the type of housing, whether permanent or temporary, made available to the refugee; the types and extent of employment available to the refugee; and the extent to which the refugee received permission to hold property and to enjoy other rights and privileges, such as travel documentation that includes a right of entry or reentry, education, public relief, or naturalization, ordinarily available to others resident in the country.

The record indicates that the applicant was issued a Nigerian passport; he was married in Nigeria; his children are Nigerian-born; and, his wife and children currently reside in Nigeria. Therefore, it appears that the applicant has firmly resettled in Nigeria.

An alien applying for TPS has the burden of proving that he or she meets the requirements enumerated above and is otherwise eligible under the provisions of section 244 of the Act. The applicant has failed to meet this burden.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.