



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy

PUBLIC COPY

M 1

FILE: [REDACTED]
[EAC 03 260 55212]

Office: VERMONT SERVICE CENTER

Date: NOV 30 2005

IN RE: Applicant: [REDACTED]

APPLICATION: Application for Temporary Protected Status under Section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1254

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT: Self-represented

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application was denied by the Director, Vermont Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The applicant is a native and citizen of El Salvador who is seeking Temporary Protected Status (TPS) under section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. §1254.

The director determined that the applicant failed to establish she had: 1) continuously resided in the United States since February 13, 2001; and 2) been continuously physically present in the United States since March 9, 2001. The director, therefore, denied the application.

On appeal, the applicant submits additional evidence in an attempt to establish continuous residence and continuous physical presence in the United States during the qualifying period.

Section 244(c) of the Act, and the related regulations in 8 C.F.R. § 244.2, provide that an applicant who is a national of a foreign state as designated by the Attorney General is eligible for temporary protected status only if such alien establishes that he or she:

- (a) Is a national, as defined in section 101(a)(21) of the Act, of a foreign state designated under section 244(b) of the Act;
- (b) Has been continuously physically present in the United States since the effective date of the most recent designation of that foreign state;
- (c) Has continuously resided in the United States since such date as the Attorney General may designate;
- (d) Is admissible as an immigrant except as provided under section 244.3;
- (e) Is not ineligible under 8 C.F.R. § 244.4; and
- (f)
 - (1) Registers for TPS during the initial registration period, announced by public notice in the *Federal Register*, or
 - (2) During any subsequent extension of such designation, if at the time of the initial registration period:
 - (i) The applicant is a nonimmigrant or has been granted voluntary departure status or any relief from removal;
 - (ii) The applicant has an application for change of status, adjustment of status, asylum, voluntary departure, or any relief from removal which is pending or subject to further review or appeal;
 - (iii) The applicant is a parolee or has a pending request for reparole; or

(iv) The applicant is a spouse or child of an alien currently eligible to be a TPS registrant.

The term *continuously physically present*, as used in 8 C.F.R. § 244.1, means actual physical presence in the United States for the entire period specified in the regulations. An alien shall not be considered to have failed to maintain continuous physical presence in the United States by virtue of brief, casual, and innocent absences as defined within this section.

The term *continuously resided*, as used in 8 C.F.R. § 244.1, means residing in the United States for the entire period specified in the regulations. An alien shall not be considered to have failed to maintain continuous residence in the United States by reason of a brief, casual, and innocent absence as defined within this section or due merely to a brief temporary trip abroad required by emergency or extenuating circumstances outside the control of the alien.

Persons applying for TPS offered to El Salvadorans must demonstrate that they have continuously resided in the United States since February 13, 2001, and that they have been continuously physically present in the United States since March 9, 2001. On July 9, 2002, the Attorney General announced an extension of the TPS designation until September 9, 2003. Subsequent extensions of the TPS designation have been granted, with the latest extension granted until September 9, 2006, upon the applicant's re-registration during the requisite period.

The burden of proof is upon the applicant to establish that he or she meets the above requirements. Applicants shall submit all documentation as required in the instructions or requested by Citizenship and Immigration Services (CIS). 8 C.F.R. § 244.9(a). The sufficiency of all evidence will be judged according to its relevancy, consistency, credibility, and probative value. To meet his or her burden of proof, the applicant must provide supporting documentary evidence of eligibility apart from his or her own statements. 8 C.F.R. § 244.9(b).

The record shows that the applicant filed her TPS application on September 17, 2003. On November 24, 2003, the applicant was provided the opportunity to submit evidence establishing continuous residence in the United States since February 13, 2001, and continuous physical presence in the United States from March 9, 2001, to the filing date of the application. The applicant, in response, provided statements from [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] and [REDACTED].

The director determined that the applicant failed to submit sufficient evidence to establish her continuous residence and continuous physical presence in the United States during the qualifying period. Therefore, the director denied the application.

On appeal, the applicant states that she needs her employment card to work and help her husband support their family in El Salvador. According to the applicant, she has followed any and all requirements to become eligible for TPS protection. The applicant also provides statements from [REDACTED] a letter and Urgent Care identification card, from UniCare, Andover, Massachusetts dated November 5, 2003, and a copy of a United States Postal Service Express Mail receipt dated May 24, 2004.

Mr. and Mrs. [REDACTED] stated that they have known the applicant since January 2000. In her first statement, Ms. [REDACTED] stated that she has known the applicant for a long time. Ms. [REDACTED] stated that the applicant has been her tenant since February 1, 2000. Mr. [REDACTED] statement is in Spanish, with no English translation. Mr. [REDACTED] stated that he knows the applicant. Mr. [REDACTED] states that the applicant has been his

tenant since December 1, 2000. Ms. [REDACTED] states that she has known the applicant since January 2001. Mr. [REDACTED] states that he has known the applicant since February 2001. Mr. [REDACTED] states that he has known the applicant since January 12, 2001. Mr. [REDACTED] states the he has known the applicant "since January March 15, of 2001." However, these statements are not supported by any corroborative evidence. It is reasonable to expect that the applicant would have some type of contemporaneous evidence to support these assertions; however, no such evidence has been provided. Affidavits are not, by themselves, persuasive evidence of residence or physical presence.

While [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] both state that the applicant was their tenant at the same location, they each list different tenancy dates. Doubt cast on any aspect of the applicant's proof may lead to a reevaluation of the reliability and sufficiency of the remaining evidence offered in support of the application. It is incumbent upon the applicant to resolve any inconsistencies in the record by independent objective evidence, and attempts to explain or reconcile such inconsistencies, absent competent objective evidence pointing to where the truth, in fact, lies, will not suffice. *Matter of Ho*, 19 I&N Dec. 582 (BIA 1988). Furthermore, Mr. [REDACTED] initial statement is in Spanish. Any document containing foreign language submitted to the CIS shall be accompanied by a full English language translation which the translator has certified as complete and accurate, and by the translator's certification that he or she is competent to translate from the foreign language into English. 8 C.F.R. 103.2(b)(3). As the applicant failed to comply with the aforementioned, the statements cannot be considered in the rendering of this decision.

Dr. [REDACTED] states that the applicant has been under his care for her pregnancy and that she was due on or about October 18, 2004. The UniCare documents are dated November 5, 2003. This evidence is all dated subsequent to the requisite dates to establish continuous residence since February 13, 2001 and continuous physical presence from March 9, 2001 to the filing date of the TPS application,

The applicant has not submitted sufficient evidence to establish that he has met the criteria for continuous residence and continuous physical presence described in 8 C.F.R. § 244.2(b) and (c). Consequently, the director's decision to deny the application for temporary protected status will be affirmed.

Beyond the decision of the director, it also is noted that the applicant has provided insufficient evidence to establish her eligibility for late registration. Therefore, the application must be denied on this basis as well.

The application will be denied for the above stated reasons, with each considered as an independent and alternative basis for denial. An alien applying for temporary protected status has the burden of proving that he or she meets the requirements enumerated above and is otherwise eligible under the provisions of section 244 of the Act. The applicant has failed to meet this burden.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.