

**Identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy**



**U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services**

PUBLIC COPY

MJ



FILE: [REDACTED]
[EAC 04 042 52265]

OFFICE: VERMONT SERVICE CENTER

DATE: **OCT 31 2005**

IN RE: Applicant:



APPLICATION: Application for Temporary Protected Status under Section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1254

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT: Self-represented

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application was denied by the Director, Vermont Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The applicant claims to be a native and citizen of El Salvador who is seeking Temporary Protected Status (TPS) under section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1254.

The director denied the application because the applicant had failed to establish that she: (1) was eligible for late registration; (2) had continuously resided in the United States since February 13, 2001; and (3) had been continuously physically present from March 9, 2001, to the date of filing the application.

On appeal, the applicant submits a statement and additional evidence.

Section 244(c) of the Act, and the related regulations in 8 C.F.R. § 244.2, provide that an alien who is a national of a foreign state designated by the Attorney General is eligible for temporary protected status only if such alien establishes that he or she:

- (a) Is a national, as defined in section 101(a)(21) of the Act, of a foreign state designated under section 244(b) of the Act;
- (b) Has been continuously physically present in the United States since the effective date of the most recent designation of that foreign state;
- (c) Has continuously resided in the United States since such date as the Attorney General may designate;
- (d) Is admissible as an immigrant except as provided under § 244.3;
- (e) Is not ineligible under 8 C.F.R. § 244.4; and
- (f)
 - (1) Registers for TPS during the initial registration period announced by public notice in the *Federal Register*, or
 - (2) During any subsequent extension of such designation if at the time of the initial registration period:
 - (i) The applicant is a nonimmigrant or has been granted voluntary departure status or any relief from removal;
 - (ii) The applicant has an application for change of status, adjustment of status, asylum, voluntary departure, or any relief from removal which is pending or subject to further review or appeal;
 - (iii) The applicant is a parolee or has a pending request for reparole; or
 - (iv) The applicant is a spouse or child of an alien currently eligible to be a TPS registrant.

- (g) Has filed an application for late registration with the appropriate Service director within a 60-day period immediately following the expiration or termination of condition described in paragraph (f)(2) of this section.

The term *continuously resided*, as defined in 8 C.F.R. § 244.1, means residing in the United States for the entire period specified in the regulations. An alien shall not be considered to have failed to maintain continuous residence in the United States by reason of a brief, casual, and innocent absence as defined within this section or due merely to a brief temporary trip abroad required by emergency or extenuating circumstances outside the control of the alien.

The term *continuously physically present*, as defined in 8 C.F.R. § 244.1, means actual physical presence in the United States for the entire period specified in the regulations. An alien shall not be considered to have failed to maintain continuous physical presence in the United States by virtue of brief, casual, and innocent absences as defined within this section.

Persons applying for TPS offered to El Salvadorans must demonstrate that they have continuously resided in the United States since February 13, 2001, and that they have been continuously physically present in the United States since March 9, 2001. On July 9, 2002, the Attorney General announced an extension of the TPS designation until September 9, 2003. A subsequent extension of the TPS designation has been granted by the Department of Homeland Security, with validity until September 9, 2006, upon the applicant's re-registration during the requisite time period.

The initial registration period for El Salvadorans was from March 9, 2001, through September 9, 2002. The record shows that the applicant filed her initial application on November 29, 2003.

The burden of proof is upon the applicant to establish that he or she meets the above requirements. Applicants shall submit all documentation as required in the instructions or requested by Citizenship and Immigration Services (CIS). 8 C.F.R. § 244.9(a). The sufficiency of all evidence will be judged according to its relevancy, consistency, credibility, and probative value. To meet his or her burden of proof the applicant must provide supporting documentary evidence of eligibility apart from his or her own statements. 8 C.F.R. § 244.9(b).

The first issue in this proceeding is whether the applicant is eligible for late registration.

The record of proceeding confirms that the applicant filed her application after the initial registration period had closed. To qualify for late registration, the applicant must provide evidence that during the initial registration period from March 9, 2001 through September 9, 2002, she fell within the provisions described in 8 C.F.R. § 244.2(f)(2) (listed above).

In a notice of intent to deny dated March 25, 2004, the applicant was requested to submit evidence establishing her eligibility for late registration as set forth in 8 C.F.R. § 244.2(f)(2). The director determined that the applicant had failed to respond to her request for additional evidence and denied the application on July 30, 2004.

On appeal, the applicant submits evidence in an attempt to establish her qualifying continuous residence and continuous physical presence in the United States. However, this evidence does not mitigate the applicant's failure to file her Application for Temporary Protected Status within the initial registration period. The applicant has not submitted any evidence to establish that she has met any of the criteria for late registration described in

8 C.F.R. § 244.2(f)(2). Consequently, the director's decision to deny the application on this ground will be affirmed.

The second issue in this proceeding is whether the applicant has established her continuous residence in the United States since February 13, 2001, and continuous physical presence from March 9, 2001, to the date of filing the TPS application.

In support of her application, the applicant submitted:

1. An affidavit dated June 30, 2003, from Fr. [REDACTED] Associate Pastor of Shrine [REDACTED] Rockville, Maryland, stating that the applicant is an active parishioner in the parish, that she is performing as a volunteer in their communities program, and that she is a registered member of the parish since January 2001.
2. A handwritten note in the Spanish language dated April 22, 2000.

In a notice of intent to deny dated March 25, 2004, the applicant was requested to submit evidence establishing her qualifying continuous residence and continuous physical presence in the United States. The director determined that the applicant had failed to respond to her request for additional evidence and denied the application on July 30, 2004.

On appeal, the applicant submits:

3. An affidavit dated August 26, 2004, from Fr. [REDACTED] Associate Pastor of [REDACTED] Church, Silver Spring, Maryland, stating that the applicant is a registered member of the parish since February 2, 2001, that she assists at the Sunday liturgies in a weekly fashion, and that currently she is in charge of the department of maintenance of the parish's facilities.

The handwritten note (No. 2 above) was not accompanied by an English translation as required by 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(b)(3) that states, in part:

Any document containing foreign language submitted to the Service shall be accompanied by a full English language translation which the translator has certified as complete and accurate, and by the translator's certification that he or she is competent to translate from the foreign language into English.

The affidavit from Fr. [REDACTED] (No. 1 above) indicates that the applicant is a registered member of his parish in Rockville, Maryland, since January 2001 and is an active member of that parish. However, in another affidavit (No. 3 above), Fr. [REDACTED] indicates that the applicant is a registered member of his parish in Silver Spring, Maryland, since February 2, 2001, and also is an active member of that parish. The two affidavits are inconsistent and raise questions of credibility.

Doubt cast on any aspect of the applicant's proof may lead to a reevaluation of the reliability and sufficiency of the remaining evidence offered in support of the application. It is incumbent upon the applicant to resolve any inconsistencies in the record by independent objective evidence, and attempts to explain or reconcile such inconsistencies, absent competent objective evidence pointing to where the truth, in fact, lies, will not suffice. *Matter of Ho*, 19 I&N Dec. 582 (BIA 1988). The applicant has failed to submit any objective evidence to explain

or justify the discrepancy in the evidence she provided. Therefore, the reliability of the remaining evidence offered by the applicant is suspect.

Regulations at 8 C.F.R. § 244.9(a)(2) do not expressly provide that personal affidavits on an applicant's behalf are sufficient to establish the applicant's qualifying continuous residence or continuous physical presence in the United States. Moreover, these affidavits were not supported by any other corroborative evidence. The applicant claimed to have lived in the United States since November 2000. It is reasonable to expect that the applicant would have some other type of contemporaneous evidence to support her claim; however, no such evidence has been provided.

Accordingly, the applicant has failed to establish that she has met the criteria for continuous residence in the United States since February 13, 2001, and continuous physical presence since March 9, 2001, as described in 8 C.F.R. § 244.2(b) and (c). Consequently, the director's decision to deny the application on this ground will also be affirmed.

Beyond the decision of the director, it is noted that although the record of proceeding contains an El Salvadoran birth certificate and English translation, the certificate was not accompanied by photo identification to establish the applicant's nationality and identity as required by 8 C.F.R. § 244.9(a)(1). Therefore, the application will also be denied for this reason.

The application will be denied for the above stated reasons, with each considered as an independent and alternative basis for denial. An alien applying for temporary protected status has the burden of proving that he or she meets the requirements enumerated above and is otherwise eligible under the provisions of section 244 of the Act. The applicant has failed to meet this burden.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.