

PUBLIC COPY



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

**Identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy**

MI



FILE: [REDACTED] Office: TEXAS SERVICE CENTER Date: **SEP 23 2005**
[SRC 02 208 55771]

IN RE: Applicant: [REDACTED]

APPLICATION: Application for Temporary Protected Status under Section 244 of the Immigration
and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1254

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT: Self-represented

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

for Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application was denied by the Director, Texas Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The case will be remanded.

The applicant is a native and citizen of Honduras who is seeking Temporary Protected Status (TPS) under section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1254.

The director determined that the applicant failed to respond to a request for evidence to establish her eligibility for TPS. The director, therefore, denied the application.

If all requested initial evidence and requested additional evidence is not submitted by the required date, the application or petition shall be considered abandoned and, accordingly, shall be denied. 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(b)(13). A denial due to abandonment may not be appealed, but an applicant or petitioner may file a motion to reopen. 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(b)(15).

The record reveals that the applicant filed this application on June 24, 2002. The applicant filed her initial application on August 19, 2002. That application was also filed subsequent to the initial registration period. The director denied the application on November 5, 2002, after determining that the applicant had abandoned her application by failing to respond to a request for evidence. On August 19, 2002, the applicant was requested to submit additional evidence establishing her eligibility for late registration as set forth in 8 C.F.R. § 244.2(f)(2). The record does not contain a response from the applicant; therefore, the director concluded that the applicant had abandoned her application and issued a Notice of Denial on March 6, 2004.

In compliance with the director's instructions, the applicant submitted a motion to reopen her case. On motion, the applicant submitted additional evidence in an attempt to establish continuous residence and continuous physical presence in the United States during the qualifying period.

The director accepted the motion as an appeal and forwarded the file to AAO in error. However, the applicant has, in fact, submitted a motion to reopen that must be addressed by the director.

As the director's decision was based on abandonment, the AAO has no jurisdiction on this case, and it may not be appealed to the AAO. Therefore, the case will be remanded and the director shall consider the motion.

It is noted that the applicant filed another TPS application on April 7, 2003.

As always in these proceedings, the burden of proof rests solely with the applicant. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361.