

**PUBLIC COPY**



U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

**identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy**



M1

FILE: [REDACTED] OFFICE: CALIFORNIA SERVICE CENTER DATE: **APR 06 2006**  
[WAC 05 067 75243]


IN RE: Applicant: [REDACTED]

APPLICATION: Application for Temporary Protected Status under Section 244 of the Immigration  
and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1254

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT: Self-represented

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned  
to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

  
for Robert P. Wiemann, Director  
Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The application was denied by the Director, California Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office on appeal. The appeal will be summarily dismissed.

The applicant claims to be a native and citizen of Honduras who is seeking Temporary Protected Status (TPS) under section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1254.

The record reveals that the applicant filed a TPS application during the initial registration period under Citizenship and Immigration Services (CIS) receipt number WAC 99 159 52278. The director denied that application on June 23, 2000, because the applicant had failed to establish continuous residence in the United States since December 30, 1998; he noted that the applicant arrived in the United States on February 13, 1999, after the date established for continuous residence.

The applicant filed the current Form I-821, Application for Temporary Protected Status, on December 6, 2004, and indicated that he was re-registering for TPS. The director denied the re-registration application because the applicant's initial TPS application had been denied and the applicant was not eligible to apply for re-registration for TPS.

On appeal, the applicant asserts that he disagrees with the decision. While he indicates that he is submitting a separate brief and/or evidence with the form, no evidence was received with the appeal.

8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v) states, in pertinent part:

*Summary dismissal.* An officer to whom an appeal is taken shall summarily dismiss any appeal when the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal.

The applicant has failed to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal. Nor did the applicant offer any explanation as to why he disagrees with the director's decision. Accordingly, the appeal will be summarily dismissed.

The record of proceeding contains the Record of Deportable/Inadmissible Alien, Form I-213, indicating that on February 13, 1999, the applicant was apprehended by the Border Patrol near Brownsville, Texas, subsequent to his entry into the United States without inspection. He stated at that time that he departed from Honduras on or about December 15, 1998, he traveled through Guatemala, and entered illegally into Mexico where he obtained work, until his entry into the United States on February 13, 1999. The applicant was placed in removal proceedings, and on February 26, 1999, in Los Angeles, California, the applicant was released on his own recognizance.

As always in these proceedings, the burden of proof rests solely with the applicant. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361.

**ORDER:** The appeal is summarily dismissed.