


**PUBLIC COPY**

**identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy**



**U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services**

M4



FILE:



Office: CALIFORNIA SERVICE CENTER

Date: **DEC 27 2006**

[WAC 05 224 79558]

IN RE:

Applicant:



APPLICATION: Application for Temporary Protected Status under Section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1254

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT: SELF-REPRESENTED

**INSTRUCTIONS:**

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the California Service Center. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

  
Robert P. Wiemann, Chief  
Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The initial application was denied by the Director, Texas Service Center. A subsequent application for re-registration was denied by the Director, California Service Center, and is currently before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The initial application will be reopened, *sua sponte*, by the Chief, AAO, and the case will be remanded for further consideration and action.

The applicant is stated to be a native and citizen of El Salvador who is seeking Temporary Protected Status (TPS) under section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1254.

The applicant filed an initial application for TPS under receipt number SRC 01 192 57164. The director denied the initial application on January 13, 2003, after determining that the applicant had abandoned his application by failing to respond to a Notice of Intent to Deny.

Since the application was denied due to abandonment, there was no appeal available; however, the applicant could have filed a request for a motion to reopen within 30 days from the date of the denial. The applicant did not file a motion to reopen during the requisite timeframe.

The applicant filed the current application, on May 12, 2005, and indicated that he was re-registering for TPS.

The director denied the re-registration application because the applicant's initial TPS application had been denied and the applicant was not eligible to apply for re-registration for TPS.

Prior to the denial of his initial TPS application by the Director, Texas Service Center, (TSC), the applicant filed a subsequent Form I-821, Application for Temporary Protected Status, and a Form I-765, Application for Employment Authorization, providing updated address information. However, the TSC director erred by sending the applicant a denial notice dated May 21, 2003, concerning his initial Form I-821 to the address that he listed on his initial application and not to the latest address that he had provided for the record.

It is noted that the applicant has provided insufficient evidence to establish his continuous residence and continuous physical presence during the required time periods. 8 C.F.R. § 244.2 (b) and (c). Although not addressed by the director, the applicant has provided insufficient evidence to establish that he is a national or citizen of El Salvador. The record does not contain any photo identification such as a passport or national identity document to establish his nationality. 8 C.F.R. § 244.2(a) and § 244.9(a)(1). The director may request any evidence deemed necessary to assist with the determination of the applicant's eligibility for TPS.

The director's denial of the initial application will be withdrawn; the application will be remanded for a new decision. The director's denial of the application for re-registration is also withdrawn as it is dependent upon the adjudication of the initial application. The director may request any evidence deemed necessary to assist with the determination of the applicant's eligibility for TPS.

As always in these proceedings the burden of proof rests solely with the applicant. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361.

**ORDER:** The initial application is reopened, the director's decision is withdrawn, and the application is remanded for a new decision. The re-registration application is remanded for further action consistent with the director's new decision on the initial application.