

identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy



U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

M1

**PUBLIC COPY**



FILE:



Office: Vermont Service Center

Date: **FEB 27 2006**

[EAC 04 141 52422]

IN RE:

Applicant:



APPLICATION:

Application for Temporary Protected Status under Section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1254

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

Self-represented

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann, Director  
Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The application was denied by the Director, Vermont Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office on appeal. The appeal will be summarily dismissed.

The applicant is a native and citizen of El Salvador who is seeking Temporary Protected Status (TPS) under section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1254.

The director denied the application after determining that the applicant had failed to respond to the director's Notice of Intent to Deny. Therefore, the director denied the application because the applicant failed to establish his continuous residence and continuous physical presence in the United States during the requisite time periods. The director also denied the application because the applicant failed to establish his eligibility for late registration.

On appeal, the applicant did not provide any supporting documentary evidence or brief along with his submission. Further, the applicant did not identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact in this proceeding. It is also noted that the applicant indicated he intends to file a separate brief and supporting documentation to the AAO within 30 days. However, as of the date of this decision, no additional evidence has been provided.

An officer to whom an appeal is taken shall summarily dismiss any appeal when the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal. 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v).

As always in these proceedings, the burden of proof rests solely with the applicant. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361.

Inasmuch as the applicant has failed to identify specifically an erroneous conclusion of law or a statement of fact in this proceeding, the appeal must be summarily dismissed.

**ORDER:** The appeal is dismissed.