

**PUBLIC COPY**

**Identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy**



**U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services**

MI



FILE: [REDACTED]  
[EAC 02 273 51248]

Office: Vermont Service Center

Date: **JAN 26 2006**

IN RE: Applicant:



APPLICATION: Application for Temporary Protected Status under Section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1254

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT: Self-represented

**INSTRUCTIONS:**

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann, Director  
Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The application was denied by the Director, Vermont Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The case will be remanded.

The applicant claims to be a native and citizen of El Salvador who is seeking Temporary Protected Status (TPS) under section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1254.

The record reveals that the applicant filed his application on August 26, 2002. On May 7, 2003, the applicant was requested to submit evidence establishing his continuous residence and continuous physical presence in the United States during the requisite time periods. The director also requested the applicant to explain his date of entry into the United States which the applicant indicated was May 2001. The record does not contain a response from the applicant; therefore, the director denied the application on August 15, 2003.

The director denied the application because the applicant had failed to respond to a request for evidence, and therefore, the grounds for denial had not been overcome. However, while the director's decision states: "your application is denied", the specific reason for the denial is not indicated. Under 8 C.F.R. § 103.3, "the officer shall explain in writing the specific reasons for denial."

The case is remanded for the issuance of a new decision that sets forth the specific reasons for the denial.

It is noted that the applicant was apprehended by the United States Border Patrol on March 24, 2001, at or near Brackettville, Texas.

Although a Form G-28, Notice of Entry of Appearance as Attorney or Representative, has been submitted, the individual named is not authorized under 8 C.F.R. § 292.1 or 292.2 to represent the applicant. Therefore, the applicant shall be considered as self-represented and the decision will be furnished only to the applicant.

As always in these proceedings, the burden of proof rests solely with the applicant. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361.

**ORDER:** The case is remanded to the director for entry of a new decision.