

**PUBLIC COPY**



**U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services**

**Identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy**



M1

FILE:



Office: CALIFORNIA SERVICE CENTER

Date: JUN 02 2006

[WAC 05 098 76982]

IN RE:

Applicant:



APPLICATION:

Application for Temporary Protected Status under Section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1254

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann, Chief  
Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The application was denied by the Director, California Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be rejected.

The applicant is a native and citizen of Honduras who is seeking Temporary Protected Status (TPS) under section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1254.

The applicant filed his initial TPS application during the initial registration period under Citizenship and Immigration Services (CIS) receipt number SRC 99 113 52589. The Director of the Texas Service Center denied that application on September 22, 2003, due to abandonment because the applicant failed to respond to a request for additional evidence dated June 18, 2003. The applicant did not file an appeal or a motion to reopen the denial decision.

The applicant filed the current Form I-821, Application for Temporary Protected Status, on January 6, 2005, and indicated that he was re-registering for TPS or renewing his temporary treatment benefits.

The director denied the application on April 21, 2005, because the applicant's initial TPS application had been denied and the applicant was not eligible to apply for re-registration or renewal of his temporary treatment benefits.

An appeal that is not filed within the time allowed must be rejected as improperly filed. In such a case, any filing fee accepted will not be refunded. 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(2)(v)(B)(1).

Whenever a person has the right or is required to do some act within a prescribed period after the service of a notice upon him and the notice is served by mail, three days shall be added to the prescribed period. Service by mail is complete upon mailing. 8 C.F.R. § 103.5a(b).

The director's decision of denial, dated April 21, 2005, clearly advised the applicant that any appeal must be properly filed within thirty days after service of the decision. 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(2)(i). Coupled with three days for mailing, the appeal, in this case, should have been filed on or before May 24, 2005. The applicant did not file the appeal with the California Service Center until June 1, 2005.

Based upon the applicant's failure to file a timely appeal, the appeal will be rejected.

It is noted that the record contains an outstanding warrant of removal issued by the District Director, Los Angeles, California, on March 6, 1995.

**ORDER:** The appeal is rejected.