

identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy

U.S. Department of Homeland Security  
20 Mass. Ave., N.W., Rm. 3000  
Washington, DC 20529



U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

**PUBLIC COPY**

[REDACTED]

M1

FILE: [REDACTED]  
[WAC 05 090 85167]

Office: CALIFORNIA SERVICE CENTER

Date: JUL 24 2006

IN RE: Applicant:

[REDACTED]

APPLICATION: Application for Temporary Protected Status under Section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1254

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

[REDACTED]

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the California Service Center. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

  
for Robert P. Wiemann, Chief  
Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The initial application and a subsequent motion to reopen were denied by the Director, Vermont Service Center. A subsequent application for re-registration was denied by the Director, California Service Center, and is currently before the Administrative Appeals Office, (AAO), on appeal. The initial application will be reopened, *sua sponte*, by the Chief, AAO, and the case will be remanded for further consideration and action.

The applicant is a native and citizen of Honduras who is seeking Temporary Protected Status (TPS) under section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1254.

The applicant filed an initial application for TPS under receipt number EAC 99 243 51226. The director denied the initial application on December 9, 2000, because the applicant had abandoned her application by failing to appear for fingerprinting.

The applicant filed the current Form I-821, Application for Temporary Protected Status, on December 29, 2004, and indicated that she was re-registering for TPS.

In this case, the applicant has not previously been granted TPS because she had not appeared for fingerprinting. However, the record contains a Form I-797C, Fingerprint Notification, showing that she appeared for fingerprinting , and that the processing of these prints was completed on March 8, 2005.

In this case, the director had information in the file indicating that the application could no longer be considered abandoned based on the failure to appear for fingerprinting issue. Therefore, a denial because the applicant had abandoned her case was not appropriate.

The director's denial of the initial application will be withdrawn; the application will be remanded for a new decision. The director's denial of the application for re-registration is also withdrawn as it is dependent upon the adjudication of the initial application. The director may request any evidence deemed necessary to assist with the determination of the applicant's eligibility for TPS.

It is noted that the burden of proof rests solely with the applicant. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361

**ORDER:** The initial application is reopened, the director's decision is withdrawn, and the application is remanded for a new decision. The re-registration application is remanded for further action consistent with the director's new decision on the initial application.