




U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services


identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy

PUBLIC COPY



MI

FILE:



Office: TEXAS SERVICE CENTER

Date: JUN 27 2006

[SRC 02 202 55206]

IN RE:

Applicant:



APPLICATION: Application for Temporary Protected Status under Section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1254

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT: SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

for Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application was denied by the Director, Texas Service Center (TSC). An appeal and a subsequent motion were dismissed by the Director, Administrative Appeals Office (AAO). The matter is now before the AAO on a second motion to reopen. The motion to reopen will be dismissed.

The applicant is a native and citizen of Honduras who is seeking Temporary Protected Status (TPS) under section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1254.

The director denied the application for TPS because the applicant failed to establish he was eligible for late initial registration, and because he failed to respond to all of the requested information.

The appeal from the director's decision was dismissed after the Director of the AAO also concluded that the applicant had failed to establish his eligibility for TPS. On motion to reopen, the applicant reasserts his claim of eligibility for TPS. It is noted that in a decision dated December 8, 2004, the TSC director determined not to accept this second motion to reopen because it was filed too late.

A motion to reopen or reconsider must be filed within thirty days of the underlying decision, except that failure to file during this period may be excused at the Service's discretion when the applicant has demonstrated that the delay was reasonable and beyond the control of the applicant. 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i).

Whenever a person has the right or is required to do some act within a prescribed period after the service of a notice upon him and the notice is served by mail, three days shall be added to the prescribed period. Service by mail is complete upon mailing. 8 C.F.R. § 103.5a(b).

The previous AAO decision in this case was dated August 9, 2004. Any motion to reopen must be filed within thirty days. Coupled with three days for mailing, the motion, in this case, should have been filed on or before September 13, 2004. The motion to reopen was received on October 29, 2004.

The burden of proof in these proceedings rests solely with the applicant. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. That burden has not been met because the motion to reopen was not filed within the required time period. Accordingly, the motion to reopen is dismissed and the previous decision of the AAO will not be disturbed.

ORDER: The motion to reopen is dismissed and the previous decision of the AAO dismissing the appeal is affirmed.