

PUBLIC COPY

**identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy**



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

MI

MAY 05 2006

FILE: [REDACTED] OFFICE: CALIFORNIA SERVICE CENTER DATE:
[WAC 99 180 52351]


IN RE: Applicant: [REDACTED]

APPLICATION: Application for Temporary Protected Status under Section 244 of the Immigration
and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1254

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT: Self-represented

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to
the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.


Robert P. Wiemann, Chief
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The applicant's Temporary Protected Status was withdrawn by the Director, California Service Center, and the case is now before the Administrative Appeals Office on appeal. The appeal will be rejected.

The applicant is a native and citizen of Honduras who was granted Temporary Protected Status (TPS) under section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1254, on March 31, 2000.

The director may withdraw the status of an alien granted TPS at any time if it is found that the alien was not in fact eligible at the time such status was granted, or at any time thereafter becomes ineligible for such status. Section 244(c)(3)(A) of the Act and 8 C.F.R. § 244.14(a)(1).

The director subsequently withdrew the applicant's TPS on June 1, 2005, when it was determined that the applicant had been convicted of the felony offense of burglary, 459 PC, on December 8, 2000, in the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles. The director maintained that although the applicant's conviction was dismissed or set aside under 1203.3 PC after the completion of his probation, the applicant remains convicted of the offense. *Matter of Roldan*, 22 I&N Dec. 512 (BIA 1999).

The applicant appears to be represented; however, the record does not contain Form G-28, Notice of Entry of Appearance as Attorney or Representative. Therefore, the applicant shall be considered as self-represented and the decision will be furnished only to the applicant.

An appeal that is not filed within the time allowed must be rejected as improperly filed. In such a case, any filing fee accepted will not be refunded. 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(2)(v)(B)(1).

Whenever a person has the right or is required to do some act within a prescribed period after the service of a notice upon him and the notice is served by mail, three days shall be added to the prescribed period. Service by mail is complete upon mailing. 8 C.F.R. § 103.5a(b).

The director's decision of denial, dated June 1, 2005, clearly advised the applicant that any appeal must be properly filed within thirty days after service of the decision. 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(2)(i). Coupled with three days for mailing, the appeal, in this case, should have been filed on or before July 4, 2005. The appeal was received at the California Service Center on July 7, 2005.

Based upon the applicant's failure to file a timely appeal, the appeal will be rejected.

As always in these proceedings, the burden of proof rests solely with the applicant. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361.

ORDER: The appeal is rejected.