



U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

**PUBLIC COPY**

**Identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy**

MM

**MAY 08 2006**

[REDACTED]

FILE: [REDACTED]  
[WAC 05 075 70212]

Office: CALIFORNIA SERVICE CENTER

Date:

IN RE: Applicant:

[REDACTED]

APPLICATION: Application for Temporary Protected Status under Section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1254

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

[REDACTED]

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann, Chief  
Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The application was denied by the Director, California Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The applicant is a citizen of Honduras who is seeking Temporary Protected Status (TPS) under section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1254.

The record reveals that on June 21, 2002, the applicant filed an earlier TPS application under Citizenship and Immigration (CIS) receipt number SRC 02 207 55041. The Texas Service Center Director denied that application on August 21, 2002, because the applicant failed to establish her eligibility for late initial registration. On December 4, 2002, the applicant filed an appeal from the denial decision. That appeal was rejected on April 1, 2003, after the Director (now Chief) of the AAO also determined that the appeal had been untimely filed and concluded that the applicant had failed to address the issue of her eligibility for late initial registration.

The applicant filed the current Form I-821, Application for Temporary Protected Status, on December 14, 2004, and indicated that she was re-registering for TPS.

The director denied the re-registration application because the applicant's initial TPS application had been denied and the applicant was not eligible to apply for re-registration for TPS.

On appeal, the applicant states that she has been living in the United States since 1997, and would like to continue working here in order to support her family. In support of the appeal, the applicant submits additional evidence relating to her continuous residence and continuous physical presence in the United States consisting of documents in her name dated in 2003 and 2004, and generic purchase receipts dated in 2001, 2002 and 2005.

If the applicant is filing an application as a re-registration, a previous grant of TPS must have been afforded the applicant, as only those individuals who are granted TPS must register annually. In addition, the applicant must continue to maintain the conditions of eligibility. 8 C.F.R. § 244.17.

In this case, the applicant has not previously been granted TPS. Therefore, she is not eligible to re-register for TPS. Consequently, the director's decision to deny the application will be affirmed.

It is noted that the director's decision does not explore the possibility that the applicant was attempting to file a late initial application for TPS instead of an annual re-registration.

Section 244(c) of the Act, and the related regulations in 8 C.F.R. § 244.2, provide that an applicant may apply for TPS during the initial registration period, or:

- (f) (2) During any subsequent extension of such designation if at the time of the initial registration period:
  - (i) The applicant is a nonimmigrant or has been granted voluntary departure status or any relief from removal;

- (ii) The applicant has an application for change of status, adjustment of status, asylum, voluntary departure, or any relief from removal which is pending or subject to further review or appeal;
  - (iii) The applicant is a parolee or has a pending request for reparole; or
  - (iv) The applicant is a spouse or child of an alien currently eligible to be a TPS registrant.
- (g) Has filed an application for late registration with the appropriate Service director within a 60-day period immediately following the expiration or termination of conditions described in paragraph (f)(2) of this section.

The initial registration period for Hondurans was from January 5, 1999 to August 20, 1999. The record reveals that the applicant filed the current application with CIS on December 14, 2004.

To qualify for late registration, the applicant must provide evidence that during the initial registration period she fell within at least one of the provisions described in 8 C.F.R. § 244.2(f)(2) above.

The burden of proof is upon the applicant to establish that he or she meets the above requirements. Applicants shall submit all documentation as required in the instructions or requested by CIS. 8 C.F.R. § 244.9(a). The sufficiency of all evidence will be judged according to its relevancy, consistency, credibility, and probative value. To meet his or her burden of proof, the applicant must provide supporting documentary evidence of eligibility apart from his or her own statements. 8 C.F.R. § 244.9(b).

The applicant has failed to provide any evidence to establish that this application should be accepted as a late initial registration under 8 C.F.R. § 244.2(f)(2). As noted above, the applicant's previous TPS application also was filed outside of the initial registration period. That application was denied and the subsequent appeal was rejected because it was untimely and the applicant did not address her eligibility for late registration. The record contains previously submitted CIS receipt notices dated August 12, 2000, July 19, 2001, and August 13, 2001, for employment authorization applications that were approved under Category A12; counsel asserts that these documents reflect that the applicant's siblings were approved for TPS. The applicant, however, did not establish that she was a child of an alien currently eligible to be a TPS registrant. Because the applicant has not established her eligibility for late initial registration, this application also must be denied for this reason.

In addition, the applicant also has failed to submit sufficient credible evidence to establish her continuous residence in the United States since December 30, 1998, and her continuous physical presence in the United States since January 5, 1999. Some of the previously submitted documentation dated in 1998 appears to have been altered. Doubt cast on any aspect of the applicant's proof may lead to a reevaluation of the reliability and sufficiency of the remaining evidence offered in support of the application. It is incumbent upon the applicant to

resolve any inconsistencies in the record by independent objective evidence, and attempts to explain or reconcile such inconsistencies, absent competent objective evidence pointing to where the truth lies, will not suffice. *Matter of Ho*, 19 I&N Dec. 582 (BIA 1988). Therefore, the applicant has not met the requirements under 8 C.F.R. § 244.2(b) and (c) and the application must also be denied for these reasons.

The application will be denied for the above stated reasons, with each considered as an independent and alternative basis for denial. An alien applying for temporary protected status has the burden of proving that he or she meets the requirements enumerated above and is otherwise eligible under the provisions of section 244 of the Act. The applicant has failed to meet this burden.

**ORDER:** The appeal is dismissed.