U.S. Department of Homeland Security
20 Mass. Ave., N.W., Rm. A3042
Washington, DC 20529

identifying data deleted to prevent clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy

U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services

**PUBLIC COPY**

[redacted]

M1

FILE: [redacted] Office: TEXAS SERVICE CENTER Date: MAY 1 8 2006
[SRC 02 212 53464]

IN RE: Applicant: [redacted]

APPLICATION: Application for Temporary Protected Status under Section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1254

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT: Self-represented

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Cindy N. Gomez for
Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The application was denied by the Director, Texas Service Center. A subsequent appeal was dismissed by the Director, Administrative Appeals Office. The matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on a motion to reopen. The previous decision of the AAO will be affirmed and the motion to reopen will be dismissed.

The applicant is a native and citizen of Honduras who is seeking Temporary Protected Status (TPS) under section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1254.

The director denied the application, because the applicant failed to establish he was eligible for late registration.

A subsequent appeal from the director's decision was dismissed on April 15, 2003, after the Director of the AAO also concluded that the applicant had failed to establish that he was eligible for late registration.

On motion to reopen, the applicant reasserts his claim of eligibility for TPS. In support of the motion, the applicant submits additional evidence in an attempt to establish his continuous residence and continuous physical presence in the United States.

A motion to reopen must state the new facts to be proved at the reopened proceeding, and be supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence. 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(2).

A motion to reconsider must state the reason for reconsideration and be supported by any pertinent precedent decisions to establish that the decision was based on an incorrect application of law or Service policy ... [and] must, when filed, also establish that the decision was incorrect based on the evidence of record at the time of the initial decision. 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(3).

A motion that does not meet applicable requirements shall be dismissed. 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(4).

The applicant's motion to reopen consists of documentation relating to his claim of residence since December 30, 1998, and physical presence since January 5, 1999, in the United States. However, the primary basis for the denial of the application and the appeal was <u>not</u> a failure to establish qualifying residence and physical presence. Rather, <u>the primary basis for these decisions was the applicant's failure to file his Form I-821, Application for Temporary Protected Status, within the initial registration period or to establish his eligibility for late registration</u>. The motion does not address applicant's eligibility for late registration. As such, the issue on which the underlying decisions were based has not been overcome on motion. The applicant has not provided any new facts or additional evidence to overcome the previous decision of the AAO. Accordingly, the motion to reopen will be dismissed and the previous decision of the AAO will not be disturbed.

The burden of proof in these proceedings rests solely with the applicant. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. That burden has not been met.

**ORDER:** The motion to reopen is dismissed. The previous decision of the AAO dated April 15, 2003, is affirmed.