


identifying data
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
20 Mass. Ave., N.W., Rm. 3000
Washington, DC 20529



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

PUBLIC COPY



M

FILE:



OFFICE: VERMONT SERVICE CENTER

DATE: NOV 02 2006

[EAC 06 061 50874 Motion to Reopen]

IN RE:

Applicant:



APPLICATION:

Application for Temporary Protected Status under Section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1254

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Robert P. Wiemann".

for Robert P. Wiemann, Chief
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application was denied by the Director, Vermont Service Center. A subsequent appeal was dismissed by the Director, Administrative Appeals Office (AAO). The matter is now before the AAO on a motion to reopen. The motion to reopen will be dismissed.

The applicant is stated to be a native and citizen of El Salvador who is seeking Temporary Protected Status (TPS) under section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1254.

The director denied the application after determining that the applicant failed to establish his continuous residence in the United States since February 13, 2001, his continuous physical presence in the United States since March 9, 2001, and his nationality.

The appeal from the director's decision was dismissed on October 25, 2005, after the Director of the AAO concluded that the applicant had failed to establish his continuous residence and continuous physical presence in the United States during the requisite time periods. On motion to reopen, the applicant reasserts his claim of eligibility for TPS.

A motion to reopen or reconsider must be filed within thirty days of the underlying decision, except that failure to file during this period may be excused at the Service's discretion when the applicant has demonstrated that the delay was reasonable and beyond the control of the applicant. 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i).

Whenever a person has the right or is required to do some act within a prescribed period after the service of a notice upon him and the notice is served by mail, three days shall be added to the prescribed period. Service by mail is complete upon mailing. 8 C.F.R. § 103.5a(b).

The previous decision from the AAO is dated October 25, 2005. Any motion to reopen must be properly filed within thirty days after service of the decision. 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(2)(i). Coupled with three days for mailing, the motion to reopen, in this case, should have been filed on or before November 28, 2005. The cover sheet to the AAO decision clearly states that all documents were returned to the Vermont Service Center and "[a]ny further inquiry must be made to that office." The applicant, nevertheless, sent his motion to reopen to the AAO. The motion to reopen is not considered properly received until it is received by the Service Center that rendered the initial unfavorable decision. The motion to reopen was received by the Vermont Service Center on December 21, 2005.

The burden of proof in these proceedings rests solely with the applicant. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. That burden has not been met since the motion to reopen was not filed within the allotted time period. Accordingly, the motion to reopen will be dismissed and the previous decision of the AAO will not be disturbed.

ORDER: The motion to reopen is dismissed. The previous decision of the AAO dated October 25, 2005, is affirmed.