



U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

**PUBLIC COPY**

identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy

*MI*

[REDACTED]

FILE:

[REDACTED]

Office: California Service Center

Date: APR 30 2007

[WAC 05 055 71111 & WAC 06 191 82862, motion]

IN RE:

Applicant:

[REDACTED]

APPLICATION:

Application for Temporary Protected Status under Section 244 of the Immigration  
and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1254

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to  
the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

*for* Robert P. Wiemann, Chief  
Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The application was denied by the Director, California Service Center. A subsequent appeal was dismissed by the Director, Administrative Appeals Office (AAO). The matter is now before the AAO on a motion to reopen. The motion to reopen will be dismissed.

The applicant is a citizen of Honduras who is seeking Temporary Protected Status (TPS) under section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1254.

The record reveals that the applicant filed a late initial TPS application on February 9, 2002, under CIS receipt number SRC 02 108 54406. The director, Texas Service Center, denied the application, on November 15, 2002, because the applicant failed to establish that he was eligible for late initial registration. On August 27, 2003, the applicant filed an appeal from the denial decision. The director rejected the applicant's appeal on December 2, 2003, because the appeal was untimely filed, and the appeal did not meet the requirements of a motion to reopen or reconsider pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(2) and (3). The applicant continuously filed appeals on March 5, 2004, on June 7, 2004, and on July 14, 2004; the director rejected the appeals on May 11, 2004, on June 15, 2004, and on August 16, 2004, respectively.

The applicant filed the current Form I-821, Application for Temporary Protected Status, on November 24, 2004, under CIS receipt number WAC 05 055 71111, and indicated that he was filing a re-registration application for TPS. The Director, California Service Center, denied that application on August 10, 2005, because the applicant's initial TPS application had been denied and the applicant was not eligible to apply for re-registration for TPS.

A subsequent appeal from the director's decision was dismissed on April 6, 2006, after the Director of the AAO also concluded that the applicant had failed to establish that he was eligible for re-registration. The AAO also noted that the applicant had failed to submit sufficient evidence to establish that he was eligible for late initial registration, under 8 C.F.R. § 244.2(f)(2). On motion to reopen, the applicant reasserts his claim of eligibility for TPS.

A motion to reopen must state the new facts to be proved at the reopened proceeding, and be supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence. 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(2).

A motion to reconsider must state the reason for reconsideration and be supported by any pertinent precedent decisions to establish that the decision was based on an incorrect application of law or Service policy ... [and] must, when filed, also establish that the decision was incorrect based on the evidence of record at the time of the initial decision. 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(3).

A motion that does not meet applicable requirements shall be dismissed. 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(4).

The applicant's motion to reopen consists only of a statement that he would like his case reopened to give him an opportunity to be legal in this country as he has been in the United States since 1997. With the motion, in an attempt to establish his continuous residence and his continuous physical presence, the applicant submits photocopies of various documents, including invoices and receipts, dated in 2000 through 2006.

However, there was no documentation relating to applicant's late initial registration. The primary basis for the denial of the application and the appeal was the applicant's failure to file his Application for Temporary Protected Status within the initial registration period or to establish his eligibility for late registration for TPS. The motion does not address the applicant's eligibility for late initial registration. As such, the issue on which the underlying decisions were based has not been addressed or overcome on motion.

The burden of proof in these proceedings rests solely with the applicant. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. That burden has not been met since the applicant has not provided any new facts or additional evidence to overcome the previous decision of the AAO. Accordingly, the motion to reopen will be dismissed and the previous decision of the AAO will not be disturbed.

**ORDER:** The motion to reopen is dismissed. The previous decision of the AAO dated April 6, 2006, is affirmed.